arXiv:1406.4541v3 [math.PR] 23 Apr 2015

On Degenerate Linear Stochastic Evolution Equations Driven by Jump Processes

April 27, 2015

James-Michael Leahy

The University of Edinburgh, E-mail: J.Leahy-2@sms.ed.ac.uk

Remigijus Mikulevičius

The University of Southern California, E-mail: Mikulvcs@math.usc.edu

Abstract

We prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of degenerate linear stochastic evolution equations driven by jump processes in a Hilbert scale using the variational framework of stochastic evolution equations and the method of vanishing viscosity. As an application of this result, we derive the existence and uniqueness of solutions of degenerate parabolic linear stochastic integro-differential equations (SIDEs) in the Sobolev scale. The SIDEs that we consider arise in the theory of non-linear filtering as the equations governing the conditional density of a degenerate jump-diffusion signal given a jump-diffusion observation, possibly with correlated noise.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Degenerate linear stochastic evolution equations **4**
2.1 Basic notation and definitions 4
2.2 Main results 7
2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.4 9

3 On the L^2-Sobolev theory for degenerate parabolic SIDEs **17**
3.1 Statement of main results 17
3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2 21
3.3 Appendix 34

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space with the filtration $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ of sigma-algebras satisfying usual conditions. In a triple of Hilbert spaces $(H^{\alpha+\mu}, H^{\alpha}, H^{\alpha-\mu})$ with parameters $\mu \in (0, 1]$

and $\alpha \geq \mu$, we consider a linear stochastic evolution equation given by

$$\begin{aligned} du_t &= (\mathcal{L}_t u_t + f_t)\, dV_t + (\mathcal{M}_t u_{t-} + g_t)\, dM_t, \quad t \leq T, \\ u_0 &= \varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where V_t is a continuous non-decreasing process, M_t is a cylindrical square integrable martingale, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are linear adapted operators, and ϕ, f, and g are adapted input functions.

By virtue of Theorems 2.9 and 2.10 in [Gyö82], under some suitable conditions on the data φ, f and g, if $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies a growth assumption and $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ satisfy a coercivity condition in the triple $(H^{\alpha+\mu}, H^{\alpha}, H^{\alpha-\mu})$, then there exists a unique solution $(u_t)_{t\leq T}$ of (1.1) that is strongly càdlàg in H^{α} and belongs to $L^2(\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{O}_T, dV_t d\mathbf{P}; H^{\alpha+\mu})$, where $\mathcal{O}_T$ is the optional sigma-algebra on $\Omega \times [0,T]$. In this paper, under a weaker assumption than coercivity (see Assumption 2.1(α,μ) below) and using the method of vanishing viscosity, we prove that there exists a unique solution $(u_t)_{t\leq T}$ of (1.1) that is strongly càdlàg in $H^{\alpha'}$ for all $\alpha' < \alpha$ and belongs to $L^2(\Omega \times [0,T], dV_t d\mathbf{P}; H^{\alpha})$. Furthermore, under some additional assumptions on the operators $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ we can show that the solution u is weakly càdlàg in H^{α}.

The variational theory of deterministic degenerate linear elliptic and parabolic PDEs was established by O.A. Oleinik and E.V. Radkevich in [Ole65] and [OR71]. In [Par75], É. Pardoux developed the variational theory of monotone stochastic evolution equations, which was extended in [KR77], [KR79], [GK81], and [Gyö82] by N.V. Krylov, B.L Rozovskiĭ, and I. Gyöngy. Degenerate parabolic stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) driven by continuous noise were first investigated by N.V. Krylov and B.L. Rozovskiĭ in [KR82]. These types of equations arise in the theory of non-linear filtering of continuous diffusion processes as the Zakai equation and as equations governing the inverse flow of continuous diffusions. In [GGK14], the solvability of systems of linear SPDEs in Sobolev spaces was proved by M. Gerencsér, I. Gyöngy, and N.V. Krylov, and a small gap in the proof of the main result of [KR82] was fixed. In Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of [Roz90], B.L. Rozovskiĭ offers a unified presentation and extension of earlier results on the variational framework of linear stochastic evolution systems and SPDEs driven by continuous martingales (e.g. [Par75], [KR77], [KR79], and [KR82]). Our existence and uniqueness result on degenerate linear stochastic evolution equations driven by jump processes (Theorem 3.2 below) extends Theorem 2 in Chapter-3-Section 2.2 of [Roz90] to include the important case of equations driven by jump processes. It is also worth mentioning that the semigroup approach for non-degenerate SPDEs driven by Lévy processes is well-studied (see, e.g. [PZ07] and [PZ13]).

As a special case of (1.1), we will consider a system of stochastic integro-differential equations. Before introducing the equation, let us describe our driving processes. Let $\mathcal{P}_T$ and $\mathcal{R}_T$, be the predictable and progressive sigma-algebras on $\Omega \times [0,T]$, respectively. Let $\eta(dt,dz)$ be an integer-valued random measure on $(\mathbf{R}_+ \times Z, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{Z})$ with predictable compensator $\pi_t(dz)dV_t$. Let $\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz) = \eta(dt,dz) - \pi_t(dz)dt$ be the martingale measure corresponding to $\eta(dt,dz)$. Let $(Z^2, \mathcal{Z}^2)$ be a measurable space with $\mathcal{R}_T$-measurable family $\pi_t^2(dz)$ of sigma-finite random measures on Z. Let $w_t = (w_t^{\rho})_{\rho\in\mathbf{N}}$, $t \geq 0$, be a sequence of continuous local uncorrelated martingales such that $d\langle w^{\rho}\rangle_t = dV_t$, for all $\rho \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbf{N}$. For convenience, we set $(Z^1, \mathcal{Z}^1) = (Z, \mathcal{Z})$ and $\pi_t^1 = \pi_t$. We consider the d_2-dimensional system of SIDEs on $[0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1}$

given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
du_t^l &= \left((\mathcal{L}_t^{1;l} + \mathcal{L}_t^{2;l})u_t + b_t^i \partial_i u_t^l + c_t^{l\bar{l}} u_t^{\bar{l}}(x) + f_t^l\right) dV_t + (\mathcal{N}_t^{l\varrho} u_t + g_t^{l\varrho}) dw_t^{\varrho} \\
&\quad + \int_{Z^1} \left(\mathcal{I}_{t,z}^l u_{t-}^{\bar{l}} + h_t^l(z)\right) \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz), \\
u_0^l &= \varphi^l, \quad l \in \{1, \ldots, d_2\},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}
$$

where for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, $l \in \{1, \ldots, d_2\}$, and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_t^{k;l}\phi(x) &:= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_t^{k;i\varrho}(x)\sigma_t^{k;j\varrho}(x)\partial_{ij}\phi^l(x) + \sigma_t^{k;i\varrho}(x)\upsilon_t^{k;l\bar{l}\varrho}(x)\partial_i\phi^{\bar{l}}(x) \\
&\quad \int_{Z^k} \left(\left(\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \rho_{\omega,t}^{k;l\bar{l}}(x,z)\right)\left(\phi^{\bar{l}}(x + \zeta^k(x,z)) - \phi^{\bar{l}}(x)\right) - \zeta_t^{k;i}(x,z)\partial_i\phi^l(x)\right)\pi_t^k(dz) \\
\mathcal{N}_t^{l\varrho}\phi(x) &:= \sigma_t^{1;i\varrho}(x)\partial_i\phi^l(x) + \upsilon_t^{1;l\bar{l}\varrho}(x)\phi^{\bar{l}}(x), \ \varrho \in \mathbf{N}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{t,z}^l\phi(x) &:= (\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \rho_t^{1;l\bar{l}}(x,z))\phi^{\bar{l}}(x + \zeta_t^1(x,z)) - \phi^l(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $\delta_{l\bar{l}}$ is the Kronecker delta (i.e. $\delta_{l\bar{l}} = 1$ if $l = \bar{l}$ and $\delta_{l\bar{l}} = 0$ otherwise). The summation convention with respect to repeated indices is used here and below; summation over i is performed over the set $\{1, \ldots, d_1\}$ and the summation over $l, \bar{l}$ is performed over the set $\{1, \ldots, d_2\}$. Without the noise term $\tilde{\eta}(dt, dz)$ and integro-differential operators in $\mathcal{L}^1$ and $\mathcal{L}^2$, equation (1.2) has been well-studied (see, e.g. [KR82], [Roz90] (Chapter 3), and the recent paper [GGK14]).

Let $(H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^{d_2}))_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ be the L^2-Sobolev-scale (i.e. the Bessel potential spaces with $p = 2$). For each $m \in \mathbf{N}$, using our theorem on degenerate stochastic evolution equations discussed above, under suitable measurability and regularity conditions on the coefficients, initial condition, and free terms, we derive the existence of a unique solution $(u_t)_{t\le T}$ of (1.2) that is weakly càdlàg in $H^m(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, strongly càdlàg in $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ for all $\alpha < m$, and belongs to $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], \mathcal{O}_T, dV_t d\mathbf{P}; H^m(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$.

Degenerate stochastic integro-differential equations of type (1.2) arise in the theory of non-linear filtering of semimartingales as the Zakai equation and as the equations governing the inverse flow of jump diffusion processes. We constructed solutions of the above equation (with $\pi_t(dz)$ deterministic and independent of time) using the method of stochastic characteristics in [LM14b] and [LM14a]. In [DG14], I. Gyöngy and K. Dareiotis proved the existence, uniqueness, and the positivity of solutions of non-linear stochastic integro-differential equations with non-degenerate stochastic parabolicity using a comparison principle. It is worth mentioning that the main estimate used in the proof of uniqueness for Theorem 2.2 in [LM14a] (which is done in a weighted L^2-norm) is essentially the same as the main estimate used in the proof of the degenerate coercivity property of the operators $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{I}$ in (1.2).

This chapter is organized as follows. We derive our existence and uniqueness result for (1.1) in Section 2 and for (1.2) in Section 3.

2 Degenerate linear stochastic evolution equations

2.1 Basic notation and definitions

Let $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, \}$ be the set of natural numbers, $\mathbf{R}$ be the set of real numbers, and $\mathbf{R}_+$ be the set of non-negative real numbers. All vector spaces considered in this paper are assumed to have base field $\mathbf{R}$. We also assume that all Hilbert spaces are separable. For a Hilbert space H, we denote by H^* the dual of H and by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the Borel sigma-algebra of H. Unless otherwise stated, the norm and inner product of a Hilbert space H is denoted by $|\cdot|_H$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$, respectively. For Hilbert spaces H and U and a bounded linear map $L : H \to U$, we denote by L^* the Hilbert adjoint of L. Whenever we say that a map F from a sigma-finite measure space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ to a Hilbert space H is $\mathcal{S}$-measurable without specifying the sigma-algebra on H, we always mean that F is $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{B}(H)$-measurable. For any Hilbert space H and sigma-finite measure space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \nu)$, we denote by $L^2(S, \mathcal{S}, \mu; H)$ the linear space of all $\mathcal{S}$-measurable functions $F : S \to H$ such that

$$|F|_{L^2(S,\mathcal{S},\nu;H)} = \int_S |F(s)|_H^2 \nu(ds) < \infty,$$

where we identify functions $F, G : S \to H$ that are equal μ-almost-everywhere (ν-a.e.). The linear space $L^2(S, \mathcal{S}, \nu; H)$ is a Hilbert space when endowed with the inner product

$$(F, G)_{L^2(S,\mathcal{S},\nu;H)} := \int_S (F(s), G(s))_H \nu(ds).$$

We use the notation $N = N(\cdot, \cdots, \cdot)$ below to denote a positive constant depending only on the quantities appearing in the parentheses. In a given context, the same letter is often used to denote different constants depending on the same parameter. All the stochastic processes considered below are (at least) $\mathbf{F}$-adapted unless explicitly stated otherwise. Furthermore, we will often drop the dependence on $\omega \in \Omega$ for random quantities.

In this section, we consider a scale of Hilbert spaces $(H^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ and a family of operators $(\Lambda^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ satisfying the following properties:

- for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\beta > \alpha$, H^β is densely embedded in H^α;
- for all $\alpha, \beta, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\alpha < \beta < \mu$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $N = N(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \varepsilon)$ such that
$$|v|_\beta \le \varepsilon |v|_\mu + N|v|_\alpha, \quad \forall v \in H^\mu; \tag{2.1}$$
- $\Lambda^0 = I$; for all $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$, $\Lambda^\alpha : H^\mu \to H^{\mu-\alpha}$ is an isomorphism; for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $\Lambda^{\alpha+\beta} = \Lambda^\alpha \Lambda^\beta$;
- for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, the inner product in H^α is given by $(\cdot,\cdot)_\alpha = (\Lambda^\alpha \cdot, \Lambda^\alpha \cdot)_0$;
- for all $\alpha > 0$, the dual $(H^\alpha)^*$ can be identified with $H^{-\alpha}$ through the duality product given by
$$\langle u, v\rangle_\alpha = \langle u, v\rangle_{H^\alpha, H^{-\alpha}} = (\Lambda^\alpha u, \Lambda^{-\alpha} v)_0, \quad u \in H^\alpha, \ v \in H^{-\alpha};$$

- We assume that for every $\alpha \geq 0$, Λ^α is selfadjoint as an unbounded operator in H^0 with domain $H^\alpha \subseteq H^0$: i.e. $(\Lambda^\alpha u, v)_0 = (u, \Lambda^\alpha v)_0$ for all $u, v \in H^\alpha$.

Remark 2.1. It follows from the above properties that for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, the H^α norm is given by $|v|_\alpha = |\Lambda^\alpha v|_0$, Λ^α is defined and linear on $\cup_{\beta\in\mathbf{R}} H^\beta$, $\Lambda^{-\alpha} = (\Lambda^\alpha)^{-1}$, and $\Lambda^\alpha\Lambda^\beta = \Lambda^\beta\Lambda^\alpha$, for all $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, for each $\alpha \geq 0$, if $u \in H^\alpha$ and $v \in H^0$, then $\langle u, v\rangle_\alpha = (u, v)_0$.

We will now describe our driving cylindrical martingale $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in (1.1)) and the associated stochastic integral. For a more thorough exposition, we refer to [MR99]. Let E be a locally convex quasi-complete topological vector space; all bounded closed subsets of E are complete. Let E^* be its topological dual. Denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{E^*,E}$ the canonical bilinear form (duality product) on $E^* \times E$. Assume that E^* is weakly separable. Denote by $\mathcal{L}^+(E)$ the space of symmetric non-negative definite forms Q from E^* to E; that is, for all $Q \in \mathcal{L}^+(E)$, we have

$$\langle x, Qy\rangle_{E^*,E} = \langle y, Qx\rangle_{E^*,E}, \text{ and } \langle x, Qx\rangle_{E^*,E} \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in E^*.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_T$ is the predictable sigma-algebra on $\Omega \times [0, T]$. We say that a process $Q : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathcal{L}^+(E)$ is $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable if $\langle y, Q_t x\rangle_{E^*,E}$ is $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable for all $x, y \in E^*$.

Assume that we are given a family of real-valued locally square integrable martingales $M = (M_t y)_{y\in E^*})_{t\geq 0}$ indexed by E^* and an increasing $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable process $Q : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathcal{L}^+(E)$ such that for all $x, y \in E^*$,

$$M_t(x)M_t(y) - \int_0^t \langle x, Q_s y\rangle_{E^*,E} dV_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a local martingale.

For each $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$, let $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}_{\omega,t}$ be the Hilbert subspace of E defined as the completion of $Q_{\omega,t}E^*$ with respect to the inner product

$$(Q_{\omega,t}x, Q_{\omega,t}y)_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega,t}} := \langle x, Q_{\omega,t}y\rangle, \quad x, y \in E^*.$$

It can be shown that for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$, E^* is densely embedded into $\mathcal{H}_t^*$, the map $Q_t : E^* \to E$ can be extended to the Riesz isometry $Q_t : \mathcal{H}_t^* \to \mathcal{H}_t$ (still denoted Q_t), and the bilinear form $\langle x, Q_t y\rangle_{E^*,E}$, $x, y \in E^*$, can be extended to $\langle x, Q_t y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t^*,\mathcal{H}_t}$, $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_t^*$. Note that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_t^*$, we have $(x, y)_{\mathcal{H}_t^*} = \langle x, Q_t y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t^*,\mathcal{H}_t}$.

Let $\hat{L}^2_{loc}(Q)$ the space of all processes f such that $f_t \in \mathcal{H}_t^*$, $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e., $\langle f_t, Q_t y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t^*,\mathcal{H}_t}$ is $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable for all $y \in E^*$, and $\mathbf{P}$-a.s.

$$\int_0^T |f_t|^2_{\mathcal{H}_t^*} dV_t = \int_0^T \langle f_t, Q_t f_t\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t^*,\mathcal{H}_t} dV_t < \infty.$$

In [MR99], the stochastic integral of $f \in \hat{L}^2_{loc}(Q)$ against M, denoted $\mathfrak{I}_t(f) = \int_0^t f_s dM_s$, $t \geq 0$, was constructed and has the following properties: $(\mathfrak{I}_t(f))_{t\geq 0}$ is a locally square integrable martingale and $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$:

- for all $y \in E^*$, $\mathfrak{I}_t(y) = \int_0^t y dM_s = M_t(y)$ (recall that E^* is embedded into all $\mathcal{H}_s^*$);

- for all $g \in \hat{L}^2_{loc}(Q)$.

$$\langle \Im(f), \Im(g) \rangle_t = \int_0^t (f_s, g_s)_{\mathcal{H}_s^*} \, dV_s = \int_0^t \langle f_s, Q_s g_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_s^*, \mathcal{H}_s} dV_s;$$

- for all bounded $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable processes $\phi : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$,

$$\int_0^t \phi_s d\Im_s(f) = \Im_t(\phi f) = \int_0^t \phi_s f_s dM_s.$$

For a Hilbert space H and $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$, denote by $L_2(H, \mathcal{H}_t^*)$ the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators $\Psi : H \to \mathcal{H}_t^*$ with norm and inner product given by

$$|\Psi|^2_{L_2(H,\mathcal{H}_t^*)} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi h^n|^2_{\mathcal{H}_t^*}, \quad (\Psi, \tilde{\Psi})_{L_2(H,\mathcal{H}_t^*)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Psi h^n, \tilde{\Psi} h^n)_{\mathcal{H}_t^*}, \quad \tilde{\Psi} \in L_2(H, \mathcal{H}_t^*),$$

where $(h^n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a complete orthogonal system in H. Denote by $L^2_{loc}(H, Q)$ the space of all processes Ψ such that $\Psi_t \in L_2(H, \mathcal{H}_t^*)$, $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e., $\Psi_t h \in \hat{L}^2(Q)$, for each $h \in H$,, and $\mathbf{P}$-a.s.

$$\int_0^T |\Psi_t|^2_{L_2(H,\mathcal{H}_t^*)} dV_t < \infty.$$

For each $\Psi \in L^2_{loc}(H, Q)$, we define the stochastic integral $\Im_t(\Psi) = \int_0^t \Psi_s dM_s$ as the unique H-valued càdlàg locally square integrable martingale such that $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $h \in H$,

$$(\Im_t(\Psi), h)_H = \int_0^t \Psi_s h dM_s.$$

For all $\Psi, \tilde{\Psi} \in L^2_{loc}(H, Q)$, we have that

$$|\Im_\cdot(\Psi)|^2_H - \int_0^\cdot |\Psi_s|^2_{L_2(H,\mathcal{H}_s^*)} dV_s \;\; \textit{and} \;\; (\Im_\cdot(\Psi), \Im_\cdot(\tilde{\Psi}))_H - \int_0^\cdot (\Psi_s, \tilde{\Psi}_s)_{L_2(H,\mathcal{H}_s^*)} dV_s$$

are real-valued local martingales. Moreover, for all bounded $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable H-valued processes $u : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H$, $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\int_0^t u_s d\Im_s(\Psi) = \int_0^t \{u_s \Psi_s\}_H dM_s,$$

where for a complete orthogonal system $(\tilde{e}^n_s)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_s^*$,

$$\{u_s \Psi_s\}_H := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Psi_s u_s, \tilde{e}^n_s)_{\mathcal{H}_s^*} \, \tilde{e}^n_s.$$

If H and Y are Hilbert spaces and $L : H \to Y$ is a bounded linear operator and $\Psi \in L^2_{loc}(H, Q)$, then it follows that $L\Im_t(\Psi) = \Im_t(\Psi L^*)$; indeed, for all $y \in Y$, we have

$$(L\Im_t(\Psi), y)_Y = (\Im_t(\Psi), L^* y)_H = \int_0^t \Psi_s L^* y dM_s$$

and $\Psi L^* \in L^2_{loc}(Y, Q)$.

2.2 Main results

In this section, for $\mu \in (0,1]$, we consider the linear stochastic evolution equation in the triple $(H^{-\mu}, H^0, H^\mu)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} du_t &= (\mathcal{L}_t u_t + f_t)\, dV_t + (\mathcal{M}_t u_{t-} + g_t)\, dM_t, \quad t \le T, \\ u_0 &= \varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where φ is an $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable H^0-valued random variable and V_t is a continuous non-decreasing process such that $V_t \le C$ for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$, for some positive constant C. Let $\alpha \ge \mu$ be given. We assume that:

(i) the mapping $\mathcal{L} : \Omega \times [0,T] \times H^\mu \to H^{-\mu}$ is linear in H^μ, and for all $v \in H^\mu$, $\mathcal{L}v$ is $\mathcal{R}_T/\mathcal{B}(H^{-\mu})$-measurable; in addition, $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e., $\mathcal{L}_t v \in H^{\alpha-\mu}$ for all $v \in H^{\alpha+\mu}$;

(ii) for $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-almost-all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$, $\mathcal{M}_{\omega,t} : H^\mu \to L_2(H^0, \mathcal{H}^*_{\omega,t})$ is linear, and for all $v \in H^\mu$, $\phi \in H^0$, $y' \in E^*$, $\langle (\mathcal{M}v)\phi, Q_t y' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t^*, \mathcal{H}_t}$ is $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable for all $y' \in E^*$; in addition, $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e, $\mathcal{M}_t v \in L_2(H^\alpha, \mathcal{H}_t^*)$ for all $v \in H^{\alpha+\mu}$.

(iii) the process $f : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H^{\alpha-\mu}$ is $\mathcal{R}_T/\mathcal{B}(H^{\alpha-\mu})$-measurable and $g \in L^2_{loc}(H^\alpha, Q) \cap L^2_{loc}(H^0, Q)$,

Let us introduce the following assumption for $\lambda \in \{0, \alpha\}$. Recall that $(u,v)_\lambda = \left(\Lambda^\lambda u, \Lambda^\lambda v\right)_0$.

Assumption 2.1 (λ, μ)**.** *There are positive constants L and K and an $\mathcal{R}_T$-measurable function $\bar{f} : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$ such that the following conditions hold $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e.:*

(i) for all $v \in H^{\lambda+\mu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\Lambda^\mu v, \Lambda^{-\mu}\mathcal{L}_t v)_\lambda + |\mathcal{M}_t v|^2_{L_2(H^\lambda, \mathcal{H}_t^*)} &\le L|v|^2_\lambda; \\ 2(\Lambda^\mu v, \Lambda_t^{-\mu}\mathcal{L}_t v + f_t)_\lambda + |\mathcal{M}_t v + g_t|^2_{L_2(H^\lambda, \mathcal{H}_t^*)} &\le L|v|^2_\lambda + \bar{f}_t; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for all $v \in H^{\lambda+\mu}$,

$$|\mathcal{L}_t v|_{\lambda-\mu} \le K|v|_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad |\mathcal{M}_t v|_{L_2(H^\lambda, \mathcal{H}_t^*)} \le K|v|_{\lambda+\mu};$$

(iii)

$$|f_t|^2_{\lambda-\mu} + |g_t|^2_{L_2(H^\lambda, \mathcal{H}_t^*)} \le \bar{f}_t, \quad \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_T$ be the optional sigma-algebra on $\Omega \times [0,T]$. For $\mu \in (0,1]$) and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+$ with $\lambda \in \{0, \alpha\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{W}^{\lambda,\mu}$ the space of all H^λ-valued strongly càdlàg processes $v : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H^\lambda$ that belong to $L^2(\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{O}_T, dV_t d\mathbf{P}; H^{\lambda+\mu})$. The following is our definition of the solution of (2.2) and is standard in the variational theory or L^2-theory of stochastic evolution equations.

Definition 2.2. A process $u \in \mathcal{W}^{0,\mu}$ is said to be a solution of the stochastic evolution equation (2.2) if **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$

$$u_t \overset{H^{-\mu}}{=} u_0 + \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}_s u_s + f_s) dV_s + \int_0^t (\mathcal{M}_s u_{s-} + g_s) dM_s,$$

where $\overset{H^{-\mu}}{=}$ indicates that the equality holds in the $H^{-\mu}$. That is, **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $v \in H^{\mu}$,

$$(v, u_t)_0 = (v, u_0) + \int_0^t \langle v, \mathcal{L}_s u_s + f_s \rangle_{\mu} dV_s + \int_0^t \{v(\mathcal{M}_s u_{s-} + g_s)\}_{H^0} dM_s.$$

Remark 2.3. In Definition 2.2, it is implicitly assumed that the integrals in (2.2) are well-defined. Moreover, it is easy to check that if Assumption 2.1$(0,\mu)$ holds, then the integrals in (2.2) are well-defined.

In order to obtain estimates of the second moments of the supremum in t of the solution of (2.2), in the H^{α} norm, we will need to impose the upcoming assumption. Before introducing this assumption, we describe a few notational conventions. For two real-valued semimartingales X_t and Y_t, we write **P**-a.s. $dX_t \leq dY_t$ if with probability 1, $X_t - X_s \leq Y_t - Y_s$ for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. For $v \in \mathcal{W}^{\lambda,\mu}$, we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_t(v) := \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_s v_s dM_s, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

and denote by $[\mathfrak{M}(v)]_{\lambda;t}$ the quadratic variation process of $\mathfrak{M}_t(v)$ in H^{λ}.

Assumption 2.2 (λ,μ)**.** *There is a positive constant L, a $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable function $\bar{g} : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$, and an increasing adapted processes $A, B : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$ with $dA_t d\mathbf{P} \leq L dV_t d\mathbf{P}$, $dB_t d\mathbf{P} \leq \bar{g}_t dV_t d\mathbf{P}$ on $\mathcal{P}_T$ such that the following conditions hold* **P***-a.s.:*

(i) for all $v \in \mathcal{W}^{\lambda,\mu}$,

$$(\Lambda^{\mu} v_t, \Lambda^{-\mu} \mathcal{L}_t v_t)_{\lambda} dV_t + d[\mathfrak{M}(v)]_{\lambda;t} + 2\{v_{t-} \mathcal{M}_t v_{t-}\}_{H^{\lambda}} dM_t \leq |v_{t-}|_{\lambda}^2 dA_t + G_t(v) dM_t,$$

where $G(v) \in \hat{L}^2_{loc}(Q)$ satisfies $|G_t(v)|_{\mathcal{H}_t^*} dV_t \leq L |v_{t-}|_{\lambda}^2 dV_t$;

(ii) for all $v \in \mathcal{W}^{\lambda,\mu}$,

$$2d[\mathfrak{M}(v), \mathcal{I}(g)]_{\lambda,t} + 2\{v_{t-} g_t\}_{H^{\lambda}} dM_t \leq |v_{t-}|_{\lambda} dB_t + \tilde{G}_t(v) dM_t,$$

where $\bar{G}(v) \in \hat{L}^2_{loc}(Q)$ satisfies $|\bar{G}_t(v)|_{\mathcal{H}_t^*} dV_t \leq L |v_{t-}|_{\lambda} \bar{g}_t dV_t$, and

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^T \bar{g}_t^2 dV_t < \infty.$$

Although Assumption 2.2(λ,μ) looks rather technical, it is satisfied for a large class of parabolic stochastic integro-differential equations (see Section 3) under what we consider to be reasonable assumptions.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of all stopping times $\tau \le T$ and $\mathcal{T}^p$ be the set of all predictable stopping times $\tau \le T$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mu \in (0,1]$ and $\alpha \ge \mu$. Let Assumption 2.1(λ,μ) hold for $\lambda \in \{0,\alpha\}$ and assume that $\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2\right] < \infty$.*

(i) Then there exists a unique solution $u = (u_t)_{t\le T}$ of (2.2) such that for any $\alpha' < \alpha$, u is an $H^{\alpha'}$-valued strongly càdlàg process and there is a constant $N = N(L,K,C)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t|_{\alpha-\mu}^2\right] + \sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau|_\alpha^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T |u_s|_\alpha^2\, dV_s \le N\left(\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right).$$

Moreover for each $p \in (0,2)$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$, there is a constant $N = N(L,K,C,p,\alpha')$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t|_{\alpha'}^p\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[\left(|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right].$$

(ii) If, in addition, Assumption 2.2(λ,μ) holds for $\lambda \in \{0,\alpha\}$, then u is an H^α-valued weakly càdlàg process and there is a constant $N = N(L,K,C)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t|_\alpha^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T (\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}_t^2) dV_t\right].$$

Remark 2.5. If V is an arbitrary continuous increasing adapted process, then Theorem 2.4 can be applied locally by considering $V_t^C = V_{t\wedge\tau_C}$, $t \in [0,T]$, with $\tau_C = \inf(t \in [0,T] : V_t \ge C) \wedge T$.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.4

We will construct a sequence of approximations in $\mathcal{W}_T^{\alpha,\mu}$ of the solution of (2.2) by solving in the triple $(H^{-\mu}, H^0, H^\mu)$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned} du_t &= (\mathcal{L}_t^n u_t + f_t)\, dV_t + (\mathcal{M}_t u_{t-} + g_t)\, dM_t, \quad t \le T, \\ u_0 &= \varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_t^n = \mathcal{L}_t - \frac{1}{n}(\Lambda^\mu)^2$. In order to apply the foundational theorems on stochastic evolution equations with jumps established in [GK81] and [Gyö82], it is convenient for us first to consider the following equation in the triple $(H^{-\mu}, H^0, H^\mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} dv_t &= \left(\Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{L}_t \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_t - \frac{1}{n}(\Lambda^\mu)^2 v_t + \Lambda^\alpha f_t\right) dV_t + (\mathcal{M}_t \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_{t-}(\Lambda^\alpha)^* + g_t(\Lambda^\alpha)^*)\, dM_t, \quad t \le T, \\ v_0 &= \Lambda^\alpha \varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The solutions of (2.3) and (2.4) are to be understood following Definition 2.2.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mu \in (0,1]$ and $\alpha \geq \mu$. Let Assumption 2.1(α,μ) hold and assume that $\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2\right] < \infty$.*

(i) For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there is a unique solution $v^n = (v_t^n)_{t\leq T}$ of (2.3), and there is a constant $N = N(L,K,C)$ independent of n such that

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbf{E}\left[|v_\tau^n|_0^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T |v_t^n|_0^2 dV_t + \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\int_0^T |v_t^n|_\mu^2 dV_t \leq N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right]. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, for each $p \in (0,2)$, there is a constant $N = N(L,K,T,p)$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T}|v_t^n|_0^p\right] \leq N\mathbf{E}\left[\left(|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) If, in addition, Assumption 2.2(α,μ) holds, then there is a constant $N = N(L,K,C)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T}|v_t^n|_0^2\right] \leq N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T (\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}_t^2) dV_t\right]. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. (i) For each $(\omega,t) \in \Omega\times[0,T]$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_t^\alpha v = \Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{L}_t \Lambda^{-\alpha} v, \quad \mathcal{L}_t^{\alpha,n} v = \mathcal{L}_t^\alpha v - \frac{1}{n}(\Lambda^\mu)^2 v, \quad \mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v = \mathcal{M}_t \Lambda^{-\alpha} v (\Lambda^\alpha)^*.$$

Using basic properties of the spaces $(H^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ and the operators $(\Lambda^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$, $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e. for all $v \in H^\mu$, we have

$$2\langle v, \mathcal{L}_t^\alpha v\rangle_\mu = 2(\Lambda^\mu v, \Lambda^{-\mu}\Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{L}_t \Lambda^{-\alpha} v)_0 = 2(\Lambda^\mu \Lambda^{-\alpha} v, \Lambda^{-\mu}\mathcal{L}_t\Lambda^{-\alpha} v)_\alpha,$$

$$2\langle v, (\Lambda^\mu)^2 v\rangle_\mu = 2(\Lambda^\mu v, \Lambda^\mu v)_0 = |v|_\mu^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v\right|_{L_2(H^0,\mathcal{H}_t^*)}^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\Lambda^\alpha (\mathcal{M}_t\Lambda^{-\alpha}v)^* \tilde{e}_t^n|_{H^0}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty |(\mathcal{M}_t\Lambda^{-\alpha}v)^*\tilde{e}_t^n|_{H^\alpha}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\mathcal{M}_t\Lambda^{-\alpha}v\bar{h}^k|_{\mathcal{H}_t^*}^2 = \left|\mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v\right|_{L_2(H^\alpha,\mathcal{H}_t^*)}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{e}_t^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$,and $(\bar{h}^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ are orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_t$ and H^α, respectively. It follows form Assumption 2.1(α,μ) that $dV_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e. for all $v \in H^\mu$, we have

$$|\mathcal{L}_t^{\alpha,n} v|_{-\mu} \leq \left(K + \frac{1}{n}\right)|v|_\mu, \quad \left|\mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v\right|_{L_2(H^0,\mathcal{H}_t^*)} \leq K|v|_\mu,$$

and

$$2\langle v, \mathcal{L}_t^{\alpha,n} v + \Lambda^\alpha f_t\rangle_\mu + \left|\mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v + g_t(\Lambda^\alpha)^*\right|_{L_2(H^0,\mathcal{H}_t^*)}^2 \leq -\frac{2}{n}|v|_\mu^2 + L|v|_0^2 + \bar{f}_t. \tag{2.8}$$

In [Gyö82], the variational theory for monotone stochastic evolution equations driven by locally square integrable Hilbert-space-valued martingales was derived; it is worth mentioning that the càdlàg version of the variational solution in the pivot space and the uniqueness of the solution was obtained using Theorem 2 in [GK81]. The theorems and proofs given in [Gyö82] continue to hold for equations driven by the cylindrical martingales we consider in this paper. Therefore, by Theorems 2.9 and 2.10 in [Gyö82], for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists a unique solution $v^n = (v_t^n)_{t\le T}$ of the stochastic evolution equation given by

$$v_t^n = \Lambda^\alpha \varphi + \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}_s^{\alpha,n} v_s^n + \Lambda^\alpha f_s) dV_s + \int_0^t (\mathcal{M}_s^\alpha v_{s-}^n + \Lambda^\alpha g_s) dM_s, \quad t \le T.$$

Furthermore, by virtue of Theorem 4.1 in [Gyö82], there is a constant $N(n) = N(n, L, K, C)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T} |v_t^n|_0^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T |v_t^n|_\mu^2 dV_t \le N(n)\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right]. \tag{2.9}$$

We will now use our assumptions to obtain bounds of the solutions v_t^n, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, in the H^0-norm independent of n. Applying Theorem 2 in [GK81], **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$|v_t^n|_0^2 = |\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^t 2\langle v_s^n, \mathcal{L}_t^{\alpha,n} v_s^n + \Lambda^\alpha f_s\rangle_\mu dV_s + \left[\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}\right]_{0,t} + m_t, \tag{2.10}$$

where $(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_t)_{t\le T}$ and $(m_t)_{t\le T}$ are local martingales given by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_t := \int_0^t (\mathcal{M}_s^\alpha v_{s-}^n + \Lambda^\alpha g_s) dM_s, \quad m_t := 2\int_0^t \{v_{s-}^n (\mathcal{M}_s^\alpha v_{s-}^n + \Lambda^\alpha g_s)\}_{H^0} dM_s.$$

Thus, taking the expectation of (2.10) and making use of Assumption 2.1(α, μ), (2.8), and (2.9), we find that for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left[|v_\tau^n|_0^2\right] &\le \mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(2\langle v_t^n, \mathcal{L}_t^{\alpha,n} v_t^n + \Lambda^\alpha f_t\rangle_\mu + |\mathcal{M}_t^\alpha v + \Lambda^\alpha g_t|_{L_2(H^0,\mathcal{H}_t)}^2\right) dV_t \\ &\le \mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^\tau \left(L|v_t^n|_0^2 - \frac{2}{n}|v_t^n|_\mu^2 + \bar{f}_t\right) dV_t\right].\end{aligned}$$

This implies that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^p$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|v_{\tau-}^n|_0^2\right] + \frac{2}{n}\mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau |v_t^n|_\mu^2 dV_t \le \mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^\tau \left(L|v_s^n|_0^2 + \bar{f}_s\right) dV_s\right].$$

By virtue of Lemmas 2 and 3 in [GM83], we deduce that there is a constant $N = N(L, K, C)$ such that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|v_\tau^n|_0^2\right] + \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau |v_t^n|_\mu^2 dV_t \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^\tau \bar{f}_s dV_s\right],$$

which implies that (2.5) holds since V_t is uniformly bounded by the constant C. Finally, owing to Corollary II in [Len77], we have (2.6).

(*ii*) Using Assumption 2.2(α,μ) and estimating (2.10), we get that **P**-a.s.

$$d|v_t^n|_0^2 \le |\varphi|_\alpha^2 + |v_{t-}^n|_\lambda^2 dA_t + |v_{t-}^n|_\lambda dB_t + (G_t(v^n) + \bar{G}_t(v^n))dM_t.$$

Moreover, for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|v_t^n|_0^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^\tau |v_t^n|_0^2 dV_t + \int_0^\tau (\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}_t^2)dV_t + \sup_{t\le\tau}|l_t^n|\right],$$

where $l_t^n := \int_0^t (G_s(v^n) + \bar{G}_s(v^n))dM_s$. Moreover, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\sup_{t\le\tau}|l_t^n| &\le N\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\int_0^\tau (|v_{t-}^n|_\alpha^4 + |v_{t-}^n|_\alpha^2\bar{g}_t^2)dV_t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|v_t^n|_0\left(\int_0^\tau (|v_{t-}^n|_\alpha^2 + \bar{g}_t^2)dV_t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\\ &\le \frac{1}{4N}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|v_t^n|_0^2\right] + N\mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau (|v_t^n|_\alpha^2 + \bar{g}_t^2)dV_t,\end{aligned}$$

from which estimate (2.7) follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4 . (*i*) Let $v^n = (v_t^n)_{t\le T}$ be the unique solution of (2.4) constructed in Lemma 2.6. Since $v^n \in \mathcal{W}^{0,\mu}$, it follows that $u^n := \Lambda^{-\alpha}v^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha,\mu} \subseteq \mathcal{W}^{0,\mu}$ is a solution of (2.3) in the triple $(H^{-\mu}, H^0, H^\mu)$.

We will first show that $(u^n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ is Cauchy in H^0. Letting $u^{n,m} = u^n - u^m$, for each $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$u_t^{n,m} = \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}_t^n u_t^n - \mathcal{L}_t^m u_t^m)dV_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_t u^{n,m} dM_t, \quad t \le T.$$

Applying Theorem 2 in [GK81], we obtain that **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$|u_t^n - u_t^m|_0^2 = \int_0^t 2\langle u_s^n - u_s^m, \mathcal{L}_s^n u_s^n - \mathcal{L}_s^m u_s^m\rangle_{\mu,0} dV_s + [\mathfrak{M}^{n,m}]_t + \eta_t^{n,m} \tag{2.11}$$

where $(\mathfrak{M}_t^{n,m})_{t\le T}$ and $(\eta_t^{n,m})_{t\le T}$ are local martingales given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_t^{n,m} := \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_s(u_{s-}^n - u_{s-}^m)dM_s, \quad \eta_t^{m,n} := 2\int_0^t \{(u_{s-}^n - u_{s-}^m)\mathcal{M}_s(u_{s-}^n - u_{s-}^m)\}_{H^0} dM_s.$$

Assumption 2.1$(0,\mu)$ (i) implies that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau^{n,m}|_0^2\right] &\le \mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(2\langle u_s^{n,m}, \mathcal{L}_s^n u_s^{n,m}\rangle_\mu + |\mathcal{M}_t u_s^{n,m}|_{L_2(H^0,\mathcal{H}_t^*)}^2\right) dV_s\\ &\le \mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(L|u_s^{n,m}|_0^2 + \frac{1}{n}|u_s^n|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{m}|u_s^m|_\mu^2\right) dV_s,\end{aligned}$$

and hence for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^p$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|u_{\tau-}^{n.m}|_0^2\right] \le \mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(L|u_s^{n.m}|_0^2 + \frac{1}{n}|u_s^n|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{m}|u_s^m|_\mu^2\right) dV_s.$$

By virtue of Lemmas 2 and 4 in [GM83] and (2.5) (noting that $|u_t^n|_0 = |\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_t^n|_0 \le N|v_t^n|_0$), there is a constant N such that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau^{n,m}|_0^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(\frac{1}{n}|u_s^n|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{m}|u_s^m|_\mu^2\right) dV_s \le N\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right].$$

Using Corollary II in [Len77], we have that for each $p \in (0,2)$, there is a constant N such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_0^p\right] \le N\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right]\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\left[\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau^{n,m}|_0^2\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_0^p\right]\right] = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

and hence there exists a strongly càdlàg H^0-valued process $u = (u_t)_{t\le T}$ such that

$$d\mathbf{P} - \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\le T}|u_t - u_t^n|_0 = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Since for each n, u^n is solution of (2.3), we have that $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $\phi \in H^\mu$,

$$(\phi, u_t^n)_0 = (\phi,\varphi)_0 + \int_0^t \langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_s^n u_s^n + f_s\rangle_{\mu,0} dV_s + \int_0^t \{\phi(\mathcal{M}_s u_{s-}^n + g_s)\}_{H^0} dM_s. \tag{2.14}$$

Owing to (2.13), we know that the left-hand-side of (2.14) converges $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$ to $(\phi, u_t)_0$ as n tends to infinity. Our aim, of course, is to pass to the limit as n tends to infinity on the right-hand-side.

This can be done quite simply when $\alpha > \mu$. Indeed, owing to the interpolation inequality (2.1), for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha' < \alpha$, and $p \in (0,2)$, there is a constant $N = N(\alpha,\alpha',\varepsilon,p)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_{\alpha'}^p \le \varepsilon \sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_\alpha^p + N\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_0^p. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $|u_t^n|_\alpha = |\Lambda^{-\alpha}v_t^n|_\alpha \le N|v_t^n|_0$, by (2.7) and (2.15), we have that for all $\alpha' < \alpha$ and $p \in (0,2)$,

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_{\alpha'}^p \le \varepsilon N\mathbf{E}\left[\left(|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] + N\mathbf{E}\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_0^p. \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.12) and passing to the limit as n and m tends to infinity on both sides of (2.16), and then taking $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we get that for all $\alpha' < \alpha$ and $p \in (0,2)$,

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t^{n,m}|_{\alpha'}^p\right] = 0.$$

Combining the above results, we conclude that for any $\alpha' < \alpha$, u is an $H^{\alpha'}$-valued strongly càdlàg process and

$$d\mathbf{P} - \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\le T}|u_t - u_t^n|_{\alpha'} = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

In particular, if $\alpha > \mu$, then taking $\alpha' > \mu$ in (2.17) and appealing to Assumption 2.1$(0,\mu)$ (ii), (2.5), and the identity,

$$\langle \phi, \Lambda^{2\mu} u_s^n \rangle_\mu = (\Lambda^\mu \phi, \Lambda^\mu u_s^n)_0,$$

we can take the limit as n tends to infinity on the right-hand-side of (2.14) by the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that u is a solution of (2.2).

The case $\alpha = \mu$ must be handled with weak convergence. Let

$$S(\mathcal{O}_T) = (\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{O}_T, d\bar{V}_t d\mathbf{P}) \quad \textit{and} \quad S(\mathcal{P}_T) = (\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{P}_T, d\bar{V}_t d\mathbf{P}),$$

where $\bar{V}_t =: V_t + t$. It follows from (2.5) that there exists a subsequence $(u^{n_k})_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ of $(u^n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ that converges weakly in $L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ to some $\bar{u} \in L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ which satisfies

$$\mathbf{E} \int_{]0,T]} |\bar{u}_t|_\mu^2 d\bar{V}_t \leq N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\mu^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t d V_t \right].$$

For any $\phi \in H^0$ and bounded predictable process ξ_t, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \xi_t \langle \phi, u_t^{n_k} \rangle_\mu d\bar{V}_t = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \xi_t (u_t^{n_k}, \phi)_0 d\bar{V}_t = \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \xi_t (u_t, \phi)_0 d\bar{V}_t,$$

and hence $u = \bar{u}$ in $L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ and u^{n_k} converges to u weakly in $L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ as k tends to infinity. Define $u_-^{n_k} = (u_{t-}^{n_k})_{t\leq T}$ and $u_- = (u_{t-})_{t\leq T}$, where the limits are taken in H^0. By repeating the argument above, we conclude that $u_-^{n_k}$ converges to u_- weakly in $L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ as k tends to infinity.

Fix $\phi \in H^\mu$ and a $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable process $(\xi_t)_{t\leq T}$ bounded by the constant K. Define the linear functionals $\Phi^{\mathcal{L}} : L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu) \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\Phi^{\mathcal{M}} : L^2(S(\mathcal{P}_T); H^\mu) \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{L}}(v) = \mathbf{E} \int_{]0,T]} \xi_t \int_{]0,t]} \langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_s v_s \rangle_{\mu,0} dV_s d\bar{V}_t, \quad \forall v \in L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$$

and

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(v) = \mathbf{E} \int_{]0,T]} \xi_t \int_{]0,t]} \{\phi \mathcal{M}_s v_s\}_{H^0} dM_s d\bar{V}_t, \quad \forall v \in L^2(S(\mathcal{P}_T); H^\mu),$$

respectively. Owing to Assumption 2.1$(0,\mu)$ (ii), the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, and the fact that $(\bar{V}_t)_{t\leq T}$ is uniformly bounded by the constant C, there is a constant $N = N(K,C)$ such that

$$|\Phi^{\mathcal{L}}(v)| \leq N|\phi|_\mu \left(\mathbf{E} \int_0^T |v_t|_\mu^2 d\bar{V}_t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v \in L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu),$$

and

$$|\Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(v)| \leq N|\phi|_\mu \left(\mathbf{E} \int_{]0,T]} |v_s|_\mu^2 d\bar{V}_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v \in L^2(S(\mathcal{P}_T); H^\mu).$$

This implies that $\Phi^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a continuous linear functional on $L^2(S(\mathcal{O}_T); H^\mu)$ and $\Phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a continuous linear functional on $L^2(S(\mathcal{P}_T); H^\mu)$, and hence that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{\mathcal{L}}(u^{n_k}) = \Phi^{\mathcal{L}}(u), \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{\mathcal{L}}(u^{n_k}_-) = \Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(u_-). \tag{2.18}$$

For each k, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\int_0^T \xi_t(\phi, u_t^{n_k})_0 d\bar{V}_t = \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \xi_t(\phi,\varphi)_0 d\bar{V}_t + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \xi_t \int_0^t \langle \phi, \mathcal{L}_s^{n_k} u_s^{n_k} + f_s\rangle_{\mu,0} dV_s d\bar{V}_t \\ + \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \xi_t \int_0^t \{\phi(\mathcal{M}_s u_{s-}^n + g_s)\}_{H^0} dM_s d\bar{V}_t.\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Passing to the limit as k tends to infinity on both sides of (2.19) using (2.18) and

$$\langle \phi, \Lambda^{2\mu} u_s^{n_k}\rangle_\mu = (\Lambda^\mu \phi, \Lambda^\mu u_s^{n_k})_0,$$

we obtain that $d\bar{V}_t d\mathbf{P}$-a.e.

$$(\phi, u_t)_0 = (\phi,\varphi)_0 + \int_{]0,t]} \langle \phi, (\mathcal{L}_s u_s + f_s)\rangle_\mu dV_s + \int_{]0,t]} \{\phi(\mathcal{M}_s u_{s-} + g_s)\}_{H_0} dM_s, \quad t \le T.$$

Therefore, for all $\alpha \ge \mu$, u is a solution of (2.2).

We will now show that

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau|_\alpha^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right]. \tag{2.20}$$

Let $(h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a complete orthonormal basis in H^α such that the linear subspace spanned by $(h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ is dense in $H^{2\alpha}$. Owing to (2.5), for each $m \ge 1$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^m |(u_\tau^n, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0|^2\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^m |(u_\tau^n, h^k)_\alpha|^2\right] \le \mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau^n|_\alpha^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right].$$

Applying Fatou's lemma first in n and then in m, we have that for each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^\infty |(u_\tau, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0|^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right].$$

Hence, for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. $v_t = \sum_k (u_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0 h^k \in H^\alpha$. Since the linear subspace spanned by $(\Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ is dense in H^0 and for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\mathbf{P}$-a.s., $(u_t - v_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0 = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, $u_\tau = v$ and

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|u_\tau|_\alpha^2\right] = \mathbf{E}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left|(u_\tau, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0\right|^2 \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_0^T \bar{f}_t dV_t\right],$$

which proves (2.20).

Estimating (2.2) directly in the $H^{\alpha-\mu}$-norm, we easily derive that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u_t|^2_{\alpha-\mu}\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|^2_\alpha + \int_0^T \bar{f}_s dV_t\right].$$

If $(v_t)_{t\le T}$ be another solution of (2.2), then by Theorem 2 in [GK81] and Assumption 2.1$(0,\mu)(i)$, **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$|u_t - v_t|^2_0 \le L\int_0^t |u_s - v_s|^2_0 dV_s + m_t,$$

where $(m_t)_{t\le T}$ is a local martingale with $m_0 = 0$, and hence applying Lemmas 2 and 4 in [GM83], we get

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{t\le T}|u_t - v_t|_0 > 0\right) = 0,$$

which implies that $(u_t)_{t\le T}$ is the unique solution of (2.2). This completes the proof of part (i).

(ii) Estimating (2.11) using Assumption 2.2$(0,\mu)$, we get that **P**-a.s.

$$d|u^{n,m}_t|^2_0 \le |\varphi|^2_0 + \left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)|u^{n,m}|^2_0 dV_t + |u^{n,m}_{t-}|^2_\lambda dA_t + |u^{n,m}_{t-}|_\lambda dB_t + (G_t(u^{n,m}) + \bar{G}_t(u^{n,m}))dM_t.$$

Then estimating the stochastic integrand as in the proof of part (ii) of Lemma 2.6, for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, we get

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|u^{n.m}_t|^2_0\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\int_0^\tau \left(|u^{n.m}_s|^2_0 + \frac{1}{n}|u^n_s|^2_\mu + \frac{1}{m}|u^m_s|^2_\mu)\right) dV_s,$$

and hence by Gronwall's lemma and Lemma 2.6(ii),

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|u^{n.m}_t|^2_0\right] \le N\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|^2_\alpha + \int_{]0,T]}\left(\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}^2_t\right)dV_t\right]$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le\tau}|u^{n.m}_t|^2_0\right] = 0.$$

Let $(h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a complete orthonormal basis H^α such that the linear subspace spanned by $(h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ is dense in $H^{2\alpha}$. Owing to (2.7), for each $m \ge 1$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}\sum_{k=1}^m (u^n_t, h^k)_\alpha\right] &= \mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}\sum_{k=1}^m |(u^n_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha}h^k)_0|^2\right] \le \mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}|u^n_t|^2_\alpha\right]\\ &\le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|^2_\alpha + \int_{]0,T]}(\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}^2_t)dV_t\right].\end{aligned}$$

Applying Fatou's lemma first in n and then in m, we have that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T}\sum_{k=1}^\infty |(u_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha}h^k)_0|^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|^2_\alpha + \int_{]0,T]}(\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}^2_t)dV_t\right].$$

Thus, $v = \sum_k (u, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0 h^k$ is an H^α-valued weakly càdlàg process. Since the linear subspace spanned on $(\Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ is dense in H^0 and $\left(u_t - v_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k\right)_0 = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$, $u_t = v_t$ and

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T} |u_t|_\alpha^2\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(u_t, \Lambda^{2\alpha} h^k)_0|^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[|\varphi|_\alpha^2 + \int_{]0,T]} (\bar{f}_t + \bar{g}_t^2) dV_t\right].$$

□

3 On the L^2-Sobolev theory for degenerate parabolic SIDEs

3.1 Statement of main results

In this section, we consider the d_2-dimensional system of SIDEs on $[0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} du_t^l &= \left((\mathcal{L}_t^{1;l} + \mathcal{L}_t^{2;l})u_t + b_t^i \partial_i u_t^l + c_t^{l\bar{l}} u_t^{\bar{l}} + f_t^l\right) dV_t + \left(\mathcal{N}_t^{l\varrho} u_t + g_t^{l\varrho}\right) dw_t^\varrho \\ &\quad + \int_{Z^1} \left(\mathcal{I}_{t,z}^l u_{t-}^{\bar{l}} + h_t^l(z)\right) \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz), \\ u_0^l &= \varphi^l, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, d_2\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where for $k \in \{1,2\}$, $l \in \{1, \dots, d_2\}$, and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t^{k;l}\phi(x) &:= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_t^{k;i\varrho}(x)\sigma_t^{k;j\varrho}(x)\partial_{ij}\phi^l(x) + \sigma_t^{k;i\varrho}(x)\upsilon_t^{k;l\bar{l}\varrho}(x)\partial_i\phi^{\bar{l}}(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{Z^k} \left(\left(\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \rho_t^{k;l\bar{l}}(x,z)\right)\left(\phi^{\bar{l}}(x + \zeta_t^k(x,z)) - \phi^{\bar{l}}(x)\right) - \zeta_t^{k;i}(x,z)\partial_i\phi^l(x)\right)\pi_t^k(dz) \\ \mathcal{N}_t^{l\varrho}\phi(x) &:= \sigma_t^{1;i\varrho}(x)\partial_i\phi^l(x) + \upsilon_t^{1;l\bar{l}\varrho}(x)\phi^{\bar{l}}(x), \ \varrho \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \mathcal{I}_{t,z}^l\phi(x) &:= \left(\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \rho_t^{1;l\bar{l}}(x,z)\right)\phi^{\bar{l}}(x + \zeta_t^1(x,z)) - \phi^l(x). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$\sigma_t^k(x) = (\sigma_{\omega,t}^{k;i\varrho}(x))_{1\le i\le d_1,\, \varrho\in\mathbf{N}}, \quad b_t(x) = (b_{\omega,t}^i(x))_{1\le i\le d_1}, \quad c_{\omega,t}(x) = (c_t^{l\bar{l}}(x))_{1\le l,\bar{l}\le d_2},$$

$$\upsilon_t^k(x) = (\upsilon_{\omega,t}^{k;l\bar{l}\varrho}(x))_{1\le l,\bar{l}\le d_2,\, \varrho\in\mathbf{N}}, \quad f_t(x) = (f_{\omega,t}^i(x))_{1\le i\le d_2}, \quad g_t(x) = (g_{\omega,t}^{i\varrho}(x))_{1\le i\le d_2,\, \varrho\in\mathbf{N}}$$

are random fields on $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ that are $\mathcal{R}_T \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$-measurable. Moreover, we assume that

$$\zeta_t^1(x,z) = (\zeta_{\omega,t}^{1;i}(x,z))_{1\le i\le d_1},\ \rho_t^1(x,z) = (\rho_{\omega,t}^{1;l\bar{l}}(x,z))_{1\le l,\bar{l}\le d_2},\ h_{\omega,t}^i(x,z))_{1\le i\le d_2},$$

are random fields on $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^1$ that are $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$-measurable and

$$\zeta_t^2(x,z) = (\zeta_{\omega,t}^{2;i}(x,z))_{1\le i\le d_1},\ \rho_t^2(x,z) = (\rho_{\omega,t}^{2;l\bar{l}}(x,z))_{1\le l,\bar{l}\le d_2},$$

are random fields on $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^2$ that are $\mathcal{R}_T \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^2$-measurable. We also assume that there is a constant C such that $V_t \le C$ for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$.

Let us describe our notation. Let $d \in \mathbf{N}$ be arbitrarily given. For each integer $d \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{R}^d$ be the space of d-dimensional Euclidean points $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. The dot product of two elements $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is denoted by $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^d = x_i y_i$ and the norm of an element $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is denoted by $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$. Let $\ell_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the space of square-summable $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued sequences. The norm of an element $x \in \ell_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is denoted by $|x|$. For a $d \times d$-dimensional matrix A with real-valued entries, we denote by $\det A$ and $\operatorname{tr} A$, the determinant and trace of A, respectively. The symmetric part of a $d \times d$-dimensional matrix A with real-valued entries is denoted by A_{sym}. Let I_d denote the $d \times d$-dimensional identity matrix.

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, d_1\}$, let $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ be the spatial derivative operator with respect to x_i and write $\partial_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j$ for each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d_1\}$. For a once differentiable function $f = (f^1 \ldots, f^{d_1}) : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \to \mathbf{R}^d$, we denote the gradient of f by $\nabla f = (\partial_j f^i)_{1 \leq i \leq d_1, 1 \leq j \leq d}$ and the divergence of f when $d = d_1$ by $\operatorname{div} f = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \partial_i f^i$. For a once differentiable function $f = (f^{1\varrho}, \ldots, f^{d\varrho})_{\varrho \in \mathbf{N}} : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \to \ell_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we denote the gradient of f by $\nabla f = (\partial_j f^{i\varrho})_{1 \leq i \leq d_1, 1 \leq j \leq d, \varrho \in \mathbf{N}}$ and the divergence of f when $d = d_1$ by $\operatorname{div} f = (\sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \partial_i f^{i\varrho})_{\varrho \in \mathbf{N}}$.

For a multi-index $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{d_1}) \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^{d_1}$ of length $|\gamma| := \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{d_1}$, denote by ∂^γ the operator $\partial^\gamma = \partial_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \partial_{d_1}^{\gamma_{d_1}}$, where ∂_i^0 is the identity operator for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d_1\}$, and let $x^\gamma = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_{d_1}^{\gamma_{d_1}}$, for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$.

For continuous functions $f : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \to \mathbf{R}^d$, we define

$$[f]_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |f(x)|$$

and

$$[f]_\beta = \sup_{x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1].$$

We denote by $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^d)$ the space of infinitely differentiable $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued functions with compact support in $\mathbf{R}^{d_1}$.

Let us introduce the following assumption for $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and a real number $\beta \in [0, 2]$.

Assumption 3.1 (m, d_2)**.** *Let N_0 be a positive constant.*

(i) For all $(\omega, t, x) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, the derivatives in x of the random fields b_t, c_t, σ_t^2, and υ_t^2 up to order m and σ_t^k and υ_t^k, $k \in \{1, 2\}$, up to order $m + 1$ exist, and for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,

$$\max_{|\gamma| \leq m} \left(|\partial^\gamma b_t(x)| + |\partial^\gamma c_t(x)| + |\partial^\gamma \nabla \sigma_t^1(x)| + |\partial^\gamma \sigma_t^2(x)| + |\partial^\gamma \nabla \upsilon_t^1(x)| + |\partial^\gamma \upsilon_t^2(x)| \right) \leq N_0.$$

(ii) For each $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $(\omega, t, x, z) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$, the derivatives in x of the random field $\zeta_t^k(z)$ up to order m exist, and for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,

$$\max_{|\gamma| \leq m} |\partial^\gamma \zeta_t^1(x, z)| + \max_{|\gamma| = m} \left[\partial^\gamma \zeta_t^1(\cdot, z) \right]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq K_t^1(z), \quad \forall z \in Z^1,$$

$$\max_{|\gamma| \leq m} |\partial^\gamma \zeta_t^2(x, z)| \leq K_t^2(z), \quad \forall z \in Z^2,$$

where K_t^1 (resp. K_t^2) are $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$) -measurable processes satisfying

$$\sup_{z\in Z^k} K_t^k(z) + \int_{Z^1} K_t^1(z)^\beta \pi_t^1(dz) + \int_{Z^2} K_t^2(z)^2 \pi^2(dz) \le N_0.$$

(iii) There is a constant $\eta < 1$ such that for each $k \in \{1,2\}$ on the set all $(\omega,t,x,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$ in which $|\nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z)| > \eta$,

$$\left| \left(I_{d_1} + \nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z) \right)^{-1} \right| \le N_0.$$

(iv) For each $k \in \{1,2\}$ and all $(\omega,t,x,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$, the derivatives in x of the random field $\rho_t^k(z)$ up to order m exist, and for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,

$$\max_{|\gamma|\le m} |\partial^\gamma \rho_t^1(x,z)| + \max_{|\gamma|=m} \left[\rho_t^1(\cdot,z) \right]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \le l_t^1(z),$$
$$\max_{|\gamma|\le m} |\partial^\gamma \rho_t^2(x,z)| \le l_t^2(z),$$

where l^1 (resp. l^2) is $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^2$) -measurable function satisfying

$$\int_{Z^1} l_t^1(z)^2 \pi_t^1(dz) + \int_{Z^2} l_t^2(z)^2 \pi^2(dz) \le N_0.$$

Let $L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), \nu; \mathbf{R})$, where ν (differential is denoted by dx) is the Lebesgue measure. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbf{R}^{d_1}$. The Fourier transform of an element $v \in \mathcal{S}$ is defined by

$$\hat{v}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}v(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} v(x) e^{-i2\pi\xi\cdot x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ its inverse. Denote the space of tempered distributions by $\mathcal{S}'$, the dual of $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \partial_i^2$ be the Laplace operator on $\mathbf{R}^{d_1}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, we define the Sobolev scale

$$H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^d) = \left\{ v = (v^i)_{1\le i\le d} : v^i \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } \left(1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2\right)^{\alpha/2} \hat{v}^i \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), \ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,d\} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ v = (v^i)_{1\le i\le d} : v^i \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } (I-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v^i \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), \ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,d\} \right\}$$

with the norm and inner product given by

$$\|v\|_{\alpha,d} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left| \left(1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2\right)^{\alpha/2} \hat{v}^i \right|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left| (I-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v^i \right|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$(v,u)_{\alpha,d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \left((I-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v^i, (I-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u^i \right)_{L^2}, \quad \forall u, v \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^d),$$

where

$$(I-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\, v^i = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(1+4\pi^2\,|\xi|^2\right)^{\alpha/2}\,\hat{v}^i\right).$$

It is well-known that $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1},\mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$ for each $\alpha\in\mathbf{R}$. For $v\in H^1(\mathbf{R}^{d_1},\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $u\in H^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$, we let

$$\langle v,u\rangle_{1,d} = (\Lambda^1 v,\Lambda^{-1}u)_{0,d},$$

and identify the dual of $H^1(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$ with $H^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$ through this bilinear form. Moreover, all of the properties imposed in Section 2 for the abstract family of spaces $(H^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ and operators $(\Lambda^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbf{R}}$ holds for the Sobolev scale. We refer the reader to [Tri10] for more details about the Sobolev scale (see the references therein as well).

For each $\alpha\in\mathbf{R}$, let $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d;\mathcal{F}_0)$ be the space of all $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$-valued random variables $\tilde{\varphi}$ satisfying $\mathbf{E}\left[\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\alpha^2\right]<\infty$.

Let $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ be the space of all $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$-valued $\mathcal{R}_T$-measurable processes $f:\Omega\times[0,T]\to H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\int_0^T \|f_t\|_\alpha^2 dV_t<\infty.$$

Let $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\ell_2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ be the space of all sequences of $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$-valued $\mathcal{P}_T$-measurable processes $\tilde{g}=(\tilde{g}^\varrho)_{\varrho\in\mathbf{N}}$, $\tilde{g}^\varrho:\Omega\times[0,T]\to H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$, satisfying

$$\mathbf{E}\int_0^T \|\tilde{g}_t\|_\alpha^2 dV_t = \mathbf{E}\int_0^T\sum_{\rho\in\mathbf{N}}\|\tilde{g}_t^\varrho\|_\alpha^2 dV_t<\infty.$$

Let $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d;\pi^1)$ be the space of all $H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$-valued $\mathcal{P}_T\otimes\mathcal{Z}^1$-measurable processes $\tilde{h}:\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{Z}^1\to H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{Z^1}\|\tilde{h}_t(z)\|_\alpha^2\pi_t^1(dz)dV_t<\infty..$$

For each $\alpha\in\mathbf{R}$, we set $H^\alpha=H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathcal{F}_0)$, $\mathbf{H}^\alpha=\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\ell_2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))=\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\ell_2)$, $\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\pi^1)=\mathbf{H}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d;\pi^1)$, and $\|\cdot\|_\alpha=\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,d_2}$, $(\cdot,\cdot)_\alpha=(\cdot,\cdot)_{\alpha,d_2}$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1=\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{1,d_2}$. We also set $C_c^\infty=C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi\in\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_0)$, $f\in\mathbf{H}^{-1}, g\in\mathbf{H}^0(\ell_2)$, and $h\in\mathbf{H}^0(\pi^1)$. An H^0-valued strongly càdlàg process $u=(u_t)_{t\le T}$ is said to be a solution of the SIDE (3.1) if $u\in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T],\mathcal{O}_T,dV_t d\mathbf{P};H^1)$ and $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. for all $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}u_t \overset{H^{-1}}{=}\ &\varphi+\int_0^t\left((\mathcal{L}_s^{1;l}+\mathcal{L}_s^{2;l})u_s+b_s^i\partial_i u_s^l+c_s^{l\bar{l}}u_s^{\bar{l}}+f_s^l\right)dV_s+\int_0^t\left(\mathcal{N}_s^{l\varrho}u_s+g_s^{l\varrho}\right)dw_s^\varrho\\&+\int_0^t\int_{Z^1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{s,z}^l u_{s-}^{\bar{l}}+h_s^l(z)\right)\tilde{\eta}(ds,dz),\end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{H^{-1}}{=}$ indicates that the equality holds in the H^{-1}. That is, **P**-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $v \in H^1$,

$$(v,u_t)_0 = (v,u_0) + \int_0^t \langle v, (\mathcal{L}_s^1 + \mathcal{L}_s^2)u_s + b_s^i \partial_i u_s + c_s u_s + f_s \rangle_1 dV_s$$
$$+ \int_0^t \left(v, \left(\mathcal{N}_s^{l\varrho} u_s + g_s^{l\varrho}\right)\right)_0 dw_s^\varrho + \int_0^t \int_{Z^1} \left(v, \left(\mathcal{I}_{s,z}^l u_{s-}^{\bar{l}} + h_s^l(z)\right)\right) \tilde{\eta}(ds,dz).$$

The main result of this section is the following statement.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Assumption 3.1(m,d_2) hold for $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and a real number $\beta \in [0,2]$. Then for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^m(\mathcal{F}_0)$, $f \in \mathbf{H}^m$, $g \in \mathbf{H}^{m+1}(\ell_2)$, $h \in \mathbf{H}^{m+\frac{\beta}{2}}(\pi^1)$, and there exists a unique solution $u = (u_t)_{t\le T}$ of* (3.1) *that is weakly càdlàg as an H^m-valued process and strongly càdlàg as an $H^{\alpha'}$-valued process for any $\alpha' < m$. Moreover, there is a constant $N = N(d_1,d_2,N_0,m,\eta,\beta)$ such that*

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\le T} \|u_t\|_m^2\right] \le N\mathbf{E}\left[\|\varphi\|_m^2 + \int_0^T \left(\|f_t\|_m^2 + \|g_t\|_{m+1}^2 + \int_{Z^1} \|h_t(z)\|_{m+\frac{\beta}{2}}^2 \pi_t^1(dz)\right) dV_t\right].$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

By [MR99] (see Examples 2.3-2.4), the stochastic integrals in (3.1) can be written as stochastic integrals with respect to a cylindrical martingale. We will apply Theorem 2.4 to (3.1) with $\alpha = m$ and $\mu = 1$ by checking that Assumptions 2.1$(\lambda,1)$ and 2.2$(\lambda,1)$ for $\lambda \in \{0,m\}$ are implied by Assumption 3.1(m,d_2). We start with $\lambda = 0$ as our base case and show that $\lambda = m$ can be reduced to it.

We introduce our base assumption for $\beta \in [0,2]$.

Assumption 3.2 (d_2)**.** *Let N_0 be a positive constant.*

(i) For all $(\omega,t,x) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, the derivatives in x of the random fields $b_t, \sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2$, and $\operatorname{div}\sigma_t^1$ *exist, and for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,*

$$|\nabla \operatorname{div}\sigma_t^1(x)| + |\sigma_t^k(x)| + |\nabla\sigma_t^k(x)| + |\operatorname{div} b_t(x)| + |c_t(x)| + |\upsilon_{t,sym}^2(x)| + |\nabla\upsilon_t^1(x)| \le N_0.$$

(ii) For each $k \in \{1,2\}$ and all $(\omega,t,x,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$, the derivatives in x of the random fields $\zeta_t^k(z)$ exist, and for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,

$$|\zeta_t^1(x,z)| \le K_t^1(z), \quad |\nabla\zeta_t^1(x,z)| \le \bar{K}_t^1(z), \quad \left[\operatorname{div}\zeta_t^1(\cdot,z)\right]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \le \tilde{K}_t^1(z), \quad \forall z \in Z^1,$$
$$|\zeta_t^2(x,z)| \le K_t^2(z), \quad |\nabla\zeta_t^2(x,z)| \le \bar{K}_t^2(z), \quad \forall z \in Z^2,$$

where $K_t^1, \bar{K}_t^1, \tilde{K}_t^1$ (resp. $K_t^2, \bar{K}_t^2$) are $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^2$) -measurable processes satisfying

$$\sup_{z\in Z^1}\left(K_t^1(z) + \bar{K}_t^1(z) + \tilde{K}_t^1(z)\right) + \int_{Z^1}\left(K_t^1(z)^\beta + \bar{K}_t^1(z)^2 + \tilde{K}_t^1(z)^2\right)\pi_t^1(dz) \le N_0,$$
$$\sup_{z\in Z^2}\left(K_t^2(z) + \bar{K}_t^2(z)\right) + \int_{Z^2} \bar{K}_t^2(z)^2 \pi^2(dz) \le N_0.$$

(iii) There is a constant $\eta < 1$ such that for each $k \in \{1,2\}$ on the set all $(\omega,t,x,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$ in which $|\nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z)| > \eta$,

$$\left|\left(I_{d_1} + \nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z)\right)^{-1}\right| \leq N_0.$$

(iv) For each $k \in \{1,2\}$ and all $(\omega,t,x,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z^k$, $|\rho_t^k(x,z)| \leq l_t^k(z)$, and for all $(\omega,t,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times Z^1$, $\left[\rho_{t,sym}^1(\cdot,z)\right]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq \tilde{l}_t^1(z)$, where l^k (resp., $\tilde{l}^1$) is a $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^k$-measurable (resp. $\mathcal{P}_T \otimes \mathcal{Z}^1$-measurable) functions satisfying

$$\int_{Z^1} \left(l_t^1(z)^2 + \tilde{l}_t^1(z)^2\right) \pi^1(dz) + \int_{Z^2} l_t^2(z)^2 \pi^2(dz) \leq N_0.$$

Note that Assumption 3.2(d_2) is weaker than Assumption 3.1($0, d_2$).

Let us make the following convention for the remainder of this section. If we do not specify to which space the parameters ω, t, x, y, and z belong, then we mean $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \in [0,T]$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, and $z \in Z^k$. Moreover, unless otherwise specified, all statements hold for all ω, t, x, y, and z independent of any constant N introduced is independent of ω, t, x, y, and z. We will also drop the dependence of processes t, x, and z when we feel it will not obscure our argument. Lastly, all derivatives and Hölder norms are taken with respect to $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$.

Remark 3.3. Let Assumption 3.2(d_2) hold. For each k and $\theta \in [0,1]$, on the set all ω, t, and z in which $|K_t^k(z)| \leq \eta$, we have

$$\left|(I_{d_1} + \theta \nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z))^{-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta\eta}.$$

Moreover, for each k and all ω, t, and z, we have

$$\left|(I_{d_1} + \nabla \zeta_t^k(x,z))^{-1}\right| \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{1-\theta\eta}, N_0\right).$$

Therefore, by Hadamard's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 0.2 in [DMGZ94] or 51.5 in [Ber77]):

- for each k and $\theta \in [0,1]$, on the set all ω, t, and z in which $|K_t^k(z)| \leq \eta$, the mapping

  $$\tilde{\zeta}_{t,\theta}^k(x,z) := x + \theta \zeta_t^k(x,z)$$

  is a global diffeomorphism in x;

- for each k and all ω, t, and z, the mapping

  $$\tilde{\zeta}_t^k(x,z) = \tilde{\zeta}_{t,1}^k(x,z) = x + \zeta_t^k(x,z)$$

  is a global diffeomorphism in x.

When inverse of the mapping $x \mapsto \tilde{\zeta}^k_{t,\theta}(x,z)$ exists, we denote it by

$$\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{t,\theta}(x,z) = \left(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1;j}_{t,\theta}(x,z)\right)_{1\le j\le d_1}$$

and note that

$$\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{t,\theta}(x,z) = x - \theta\zeta^k_t(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{t,\theta}(x,z),z).$$

Furthermore, for each k and $\theta \in [0,1]$, on the set all ω, t, and z in which $|K^k_t(z)| \le \eta$, there is a constant $N = N(d_1, N_0, \eta)$ such that

$$|\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{t,\theta}(x,z)| \le N \tag{3.2}$$

and for each k and all ω, t, and z,

$$|\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{t}(x,z)| \le N. \tag{3.3}$$

Using simple properties of the determinant, we can easily show that there is a constant $N = N(d_1)$ such that for an arbitrary real-valued $d_1 \times d_1$ matrix A,

$$|\det(I_{d_1}+A)-1| \le N|A| \quad \textit{and} \quad |\det(I_{d_1}+A)-1-\operatorname{tr}A| \le N|A|^2.$$

Thus, there is a constant $N = N(d_1, N_0, \eta)$ such that

$$|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}-1| = \left|\det\left(I_d - \zeta^k_t(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_t)\right)-1\right| \le N|\nabla\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})| \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\left|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}-1+\operatorname{div}\left(\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})\right)\right| \le N|\nabla\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})|^2.$$

Since $\partial_l\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1;j} = \delta_{lj} - \partial_m\zeta^{k;j}(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}\partial_l\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1;m})$, we have

$$|\operatorname{div}F^k - \operatorname{div}\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})| = |\partial_j\zeta^{k;l}(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_t(\partial_l\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1;j}-\delta_{lj})| \le N|\nabla\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})|^2,$$

and thus

$$\left|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}-1+\operatorname{div}\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})\right| \le N|\nabla\zeta^k(\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1})|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

In the following three lemmas, we will show that Assumptions 2.1$(\lambda,1)$ and 2.2$(\lambda,1)$ for $\lambda \in \{0,m\}$ hold under Assumption 3.2(β) for any $\beta \in [0,2]$. For each $l \in \{1,\dots,d_2\}$ and all $\phi \in C^\infty_c$, let

$$\mathcal{L}^l_t\phi = (\mathcal{L}^{1;l}_t + \mathcal{L}^{2;l}_t)\phi + b^i_t\partial_i\phi^l + c^{l\bar{l}}_t\phi^{\bar{l}},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{1;l}_t\phi = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{1;i\varrho}_t\sigma^{1;j\varrho}_t\partial_{ij}\phi^l + \sigma^{1;i\varrho}_t\upsilon^{1;l\bar{l}\varrho}_t\partial_i\phi^{\bar{l}}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{J}^1_t\phi = \mathcal{L}^1_t\phi - \mathcal{A}^1_t\phi.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let Assumption 3.2(d_2) hold. Then there is a constant $N = N(d_1,d_2,N_0,\eta)$ such that for all $(\omega,t) \in \Omega\times[0,T]$ and $v \in H^1$,*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_tv\|_{-1} \le N\|v\|_1, \quad \|\mathcal{A}_tv\|_{-1} \le N\|v\|_1, \quad \|\mathcal{J}^1_tv\|_{-1} \le N\|v\|_1,$$

$$\|\mathcal{N}_tv\|_0 \le N\|\phi\|_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{I}_{t,z}v\|^2_0\pi^1_t(dz) \le N\|v\|^2_1.$$

Proof. First we will show that there is a constant N such that

$$(\psi, \mathcal{L}_t\phi)_0 \le N\|\psi\|_1\|\phi\|_1, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty.$$

Once this is established, we know that $\mathcal{L}$ extends to a linear operator from H^1 to H^{-1} (still denoted by $\mathcal{L}$) and $\|\mathcal{L}_t v\|_{-1} \le N\|v\|_1$, for all $v \in H^1$. Using Taylor's formula and the divergence theorem, we get that for all and all $\phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty$

$$(\psi, \mathcal{L}\phi)_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{2}\Big((\psi, \mathfrak{L}_t^k\phi)_0 + (\partial_i\psi, \mathfrak{Y}_t^{k;i}\phi)_0 + (\psi, b_t\partial_i\phi)_0 + (\psi, c_t\phi)_0\Big),$$

where for each $k \in \{1,2\}$, $l \in \{1,\dots,d_2\}$, and $i \in \{1,2,\dots,d_1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{L}^{k;l}\phi :&= -\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}\int_0^1 \left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k) - \phi^l\right)\partial_i\zeta^{k;i}d\theta\pi^k(dz)\\
&\quad -\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}\int_0^1 \theta\partial_j\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k)\partial_i\zeta^{k;j}\zeta^{k;i}d\theta\pi^k(dz)\\
&\quad +\int_{Z^k}\rho^{k;l\bar{l}}\left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^k) - \phi^l\right)\pi^k(dz) + \sigma^{k;i\varrho}\upsilon^{k;l\bar{l}\varrho}\partial_i\phi^{\bar{l}}\\
&\quad +\int_{\bar{K}^k>\eta}\left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^k) - \phi^l - \zeta^{k;i}\partial_i\phi^l\right)\pi^k(dz),\\
\mathfrak{Y}^{k;li}\phi :&= -\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}\int_0^1 \left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k) - \phi^l\right)\zeta^{k;i}d\theta\pi^k(dz) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\left(\sigma^{k;i\varrho}\sigma^{k;j\varrho}\right)\partial_j\phi^l.
\end{aligned}$$

For the remainder of the proof, we make the convention that statements hold for all $\phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty$ and that all constants N are independent of ϕ. By Minkowski's integral inequality and Holder's inequality, we have (using the notation of Remark 3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{L}^k\phi\|_0 \le &\left(\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}(K^k)^2\pi^k(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^1\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k(z)) - \phi|^2\pi^k(dz)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\theta\\
&+\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}K^k(z)^2\int_0^1\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\nabla\phi(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^k(dz)\theta d\theta\\
&+\left(\int_{Z^1}(l^k)^2\pi^k(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^1}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k) - \phi|^2\pi^k(dz)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+N\|\nabla\phi\|_0 + \int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}\int_0^1\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k) - \phi - \zeta^{k;i}\partial_i\phi|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\theta\pi^k(dz),
\end{aligned}$$

and for all $i \in \{1,\dots,d_1\}$,

$$\|\mathfrak{Y}^{k;i}\phi\|_0 \le \left(\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}(K^k)^2\pi^k(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^1\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}_\theta^k) - \phi|^2\pi^k(dz)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\theta + N\|\nabla\phi\|_0.$$

Applying the change of variable formula and appealing to (3.2), we find that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k_\theta)-\phi|^2\pi^k(dz)dx\le\theta\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\nabla\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k_{\theta\bar{\theta}})|^2|\zeta^k|^2dxd\bar{\theta}$$
$$\le\theta\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}(K^k)^2\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\nabla\phi|^2\left|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_{\theta\bar{\theta}}\right|dxd\bar{\theta}\le N\theta\|\nabla\phi\|_0^2.$$

Similarly, since $\pi^k(\{z\in Z^k:\bar{K}^k\ge\eta\})\le N_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k)-\phi|^2\pi^k(dz)dx\le 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}\left(|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k)|^2+|\phi|^2\right)\pi^k(dz)dx$$
$$\le\int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\phi|^2\left(1+\left|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}\right|\right)dx\pi^k(dz)\le N\|\phi\|_0^2$$

and

$$\int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k)-\phi-\zeta^{k;i}\partial_i\phi|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^k(dz)$$
$$\le N\int_{\bar{K}^k\ge\eta}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\left(|\phi|^2\left(1+\left|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}\right|\right)+(K^k)^2|\nabla\phi|^2\right)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^k(dz)\le N\|\phi\|_1,$$

where in the last inequality we used (3.3). Moreover,

$$\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}(K^k)^2\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\nabla\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^k_\theta)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^k(dz)$$
$$\le\int_{\bar{K}^k<\eta}(K^k)^2\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}|\nabla\phi|^2\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{k;-1}_\theta\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^k(dz)\le N\|\nabla\phi\|_0.$$

Combining the above estimates, we get that $(\psi,\mathcal{L}\phi)_0\le N\|\psi\|_1\|\phi\|_1$. It is clear from the above computation that

$$\|\mathcal{A}^1_t\phi\|_{-1}\le N\|\phi\|_1,\quad \|\mathcal{J}^1_t\phi\|_{-1}\le N\|\phi\|_1,$$

where actually $\mathcal{A}^1$ and $\mathcal{J}^1$ are actually extensions of the operators defined above. The inequality $\|\mathcal{N}\phi\|_0\le N\|\phi\|_1$ can easily be obtained. Following similar calculations to ones we derived above (using (3.3) and (3.2)), we obtain

$$\int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{I}\phi\|_0^2\pi^1(dz)\le N(A_1+A_2),$$

where

$$A_1:=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{\bar{K}^1\le\eta}\int_0^1|\nabla\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1_\theta)|^2|\xi^1|^2\pi^1(dz)d\theta dx$$
$$+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{K^1>\eta}\left(|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1)|^2+|\phi|^2\right)\pi^1(dz)dx\le N\|\phi\|_1^2$$

and

$$A_2 := \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} \rho^{1;l\bar{l}} \phi^{\bar{l}}(\tilde{\zeta}^1) \pi^1(dz)\, dx \le N\|\phi\|_0^2.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let Assumption 3.2(d_2) hold. Then there is a constant $N = N(d_1, d_2, N_0, \eta, \beta)$ such that for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$ and all $v \in H^1$,*

$$2\langle v, \mathcal{L}_t^2 v + b_t^i \partial_i v_t + c_t^{\bar{l}} v_t^{\bar{l}} \rangle_1 + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_t^{2;i\varrho} \partial_i v, \sigma_t^{2;j\varrho} \partial_j v)_0 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{Z^2} \|v(\tilde{\zeta}_t^2(z)) - v\|_0^2 \pi_t^2(dz) \le N\|v\|_0^2, \tag{3.6}$$

$$2\langle v, \mathcal{A}_t^1 v \rangle_1 + \|\mathcal{N}_t v\|_0^2 \le N\|v\|_0^2, \quad 2\langle v, \mathcal{J}_t^1 v \rangle_1 + \int_{Z^1} \|\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v\|_0^2 \pi_t^1(dz) \le N\|v\|_0^2, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle v, \mathcal{L}_t v + f_t \rangle_1 + \|\mathcal{N}_t v + g_t\|_0^2 + \int_{Z_1} \|\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v + h_t(z)\|_0^2 \pi^1(dz) \\ + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_t^{2;i\varrho} \partial_i v, \sigma_t^{2;j\varrho} \partial_j v)_0 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{Z^2} \|v(\tilde{\zeta}_t^2(z)) - v\|_0^2 \pi_t^2(dz) \\ \le N \left(\|v\|_0^2 + \|f_t\|_0^2 + \|g_t\|_1^2 + \int_{Z^1} \|h_t(z)\|_{\frac{\beta}{2}}^2 \pi_t^1(dz) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the remainder of the proof, we make the convention that statements hold for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$ and that all constants N are independent of ϕ. Using the divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \phi, \mathcal{A}^1 \phi \rangle_1 + \|\mathcal{N}\phi\|_0^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left(|\operatorname{div} \sigma^1|^2 + 2\sigma^{1;i} \partial_i \operatorname{div} \sigma^1 + \partial_j \sigma^{1;i} \partial_i \sigma^{1;j} \right) |\phi|^2 dx \\ + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left(|\upsilon^1 \phi|^2 - 2\phi^l \left(\upsilon_{sym}^{1;l\bar{l}} \operatorname{div} \sigma^1 + \sigma^{1;i} \partial_i \upsilon_{sym}^{1;l\bar{l}} \right) \phi^{\bar{l}} \right) dx \le N\|\phi\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms and using the identity $2a(b-a) = -|b-a|^2 + |b|^2 - |a|^2$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, we obtain

$$2\langle \phi, \mathcal{J}^1 \phi \rangle_1 + \int_{Z_1} \|\mathcal{I}\phi\|_0^2 \pi_t^1(dz) = A_1 + A_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} \left(|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1)|^2 - |\phi|^2 - 2\phi \zeta^{1;i} \partial_i \phi \right) \pi^1(dz) dx \\ A_2 &:= 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} \left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^1) \rho^{1;l\bar{l}} \phi^{\bar{l}}(\tilde{\zeta}^1) - \phi^l \rho^{1;l\bar{l}} \phi^l \right) \pi^1(dz) dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} |\rho^1 \phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1)|^2 \pi^1(dz) dx, \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\operatorname{div} \zeta^1(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}) - \operatorname{div} \zeta^1| \le [\operatorname{div} \zeta^1]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} (K^1)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \le (\tilde{K}^1)^2 + (K^1)^\beta,$$

changing the variable of integration and making use of the estimate (3.5), we obtain

$$A_1 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\phi|^2 \int_{Z^1} |\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div} \zeta^1 | \pi^1(dz)dx \leq N\|\phi\|_0^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} \phi^l \left(\rho^{1;l\bar{l}}(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}) - \rho^{1;l\bar{l}}\right) \phi^{\bar{l}} \pi^1(dz)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} 2\phi^l \rho^{1;l\bar{l}}(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}) \phi^{\bar{l}} \left(\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1} - 1\right) \pi^1(dz)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^1} |\rho^1(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1})\phi|^2 \det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1} \pi^1(dz)dx =: A_{21} + A_{22} + A_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (3.4) and Holder's inequality, we have

$$A_{22} + A_{23} \leq N \int_{Z^1} ((l^1)^2 + (K^1)^2) \pi^1(dz) \|\phi\|_0^2.$$

For $\beta > 0$, we have

$$A_{21} \leq N \int_{Z^1} \left[\rho^1_{sym}\right]_{\frac{\beta}{2}} (K^1)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \pi^1(dz) \|\phi\|_0^2 \leq N \int_{Z^1} \left((\tilde{l}^1)^2 + (K^1)^{\beta}\right) \pi^1(dz) \|\phi\|_0^2 \leq N\|\phi\|_0^2$$

and for $\beta = 0$, using Holder's inequality, we get

$$A_{21} \leq N\|\phi\|_0^2 \int_{Z^1} (l^1)^2 \pi^1(dz).$$

By the divergence theorem, we have

$$2\langle \phi, \mathcal{L}^2 \phi \rangle_0 = B_1 + B_2 + B_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left(\phi^l \sigma^{2;i\varrho} \sigma^{2;j\varrho} \partial_{ij} \phi^l + 2\sigma^{2;i\varrho} \upsilon^{2;l\bar{l}\varrho} \partial_i \phi^{\bar{l}}\right) dx, \\ B_2 &:= 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^2} \phi^l \left(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi^l - \zeta^{2;i} \partial_i \phi^l\right) \pi^2(dz)dx, \\ B_3 &:= 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_{Z^2} \phi^l \rho^{2;l\bar{l}} \left(\phi^{\bar{l}}(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi^{\bar{l}}\right) \pi^2(dz)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the divergence theorem, we have

$$(\phi, \sigma^{2;i\varrho} \sigma^{2;j\varrho} \partial_{ij} \phi)_0 = -\left(\left(\sigma^{2;i\varrho} \sigma^{2;j\varrho} \partial_i \phi + \phi \left(\sigma^{2;j\varrho} \operatorname{div} \sigma^{2;\varrho} + \sigma^{2;i\varrho} \partial_i \sigma^{2;j\varrho}\right)\right), \partial_j \phi\right)_0$$

$$(\phi \sigma^{2;i\varrho} \partial_i \sigma^{2;j\varrho}, \partial_j \phi)_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi \left(\partial_j \sigma^{2;i\varrho} \partial_i \sigma^{2;j\varrho} + \sigma^{2;j\varrho} \partial_j \operatorname{div} \sigma^{2;\varrho}\right), \phi\right)_0,$$

$$(\phi\sigma^{2;j\varrho}\partial_j \operatorname{div}\sigma^{2;\varrho},\phi)_0 = -(\phi|\operatorname{div}\sigma^2|^2,\phi)_0 + 2(\phi\sigma^{2;i\varrho}\operatorname{div}\sigma^{2;\varrho},\partial_j\phi)_0,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi,\sigma_t^{2;i\varrho}\sigma_t^{2;j\varrho}\partial_{ij}\phi)_0 = &-\left(\sigma^{2;i\varrho}\sigma^{2;j\varrho}\partial_i\phi^l\partial_j\phi^l + 2\phi\sigma^{2;j\varrho}\operatorname{div}\sigma^{2;\varrho},\partial_j\phi\right)_0\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(\partial_j\sigma^{2;i\varrho}\partial_i\sigma^{2;j\varrho} - |\operatorname{div}\sigma^2|^2\right),\phi\right)_0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Young's inequality,

$$B_1 \le -\frac{1}{2}\int \partial_i\phi^l\sigma^{2;i\varrho}\sigma^{2;j\varrho}\partial_j\phi^l dx + N\|\phi\|_0^2.$$

Once again making use of the identity $2a(b-a) = -|b-a|^2 + |b|^2 - |a|^2$, $a,b\in\mathbf{R}$, we get

$$2\phi^l(\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi^l - \zeta^{2;i}\partial_i\phi^l) = -|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi|^2 + |\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2)|^2 - |\phi|^2 - \zeta_t^{2;i}\partial_i|\phi|^2.$$

Changing the variable of integration and applying the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}B_2 = &-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2)-\phi|^2\pi^2(dz)dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}|\phi|^2\left(\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{2;-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\zeta^2(\tilde{\zeta}^{2;-1})\right)\pi^2(dz)dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}|\phi|^2\left(\operatorname{div}\zeta^2 - \operatorname{div}\zeta^2(\tilde{\zeta}^{2;-1})\right)\pi^2(dz)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable of integration in the last term of B_2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}|\phi|^2\left(\operatorname{div}\zeta^2 - \operatorname{div}\zeta^2(\tilde{\zeta}^{2;-1})\right)\pi^2(dz)dx\\ &=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}\left(|\phi|^2 - |\phi(\tilde{\zeta})|^2\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^2\right)\operatorname{div}\zeta^2\pi^2(dz)dx\\ &=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2)|^2\left(1-\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^2\right)\operatorname{div}\zeta^2\pi^2(dz)dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2}(\phi^l - \phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^2))(\phi^l+\phi^l(\tilde{\zeta}^2))\operatorname{div}\zeta^2\pi^2(dz)dx =: B_{21}+B_{22}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$B_{21} \le N\int_{Z^2}(\bar{K}^2)^2\pi^2(dz)\|\phi\|_0^2,$$

and applying Holder's inequality,

$$B_{22} \le N\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\left(\int_{Z^2}|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2)-\phi|^2\pi^2(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{Z^2}\left(|\phi|^2+|\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2)|^2\right)(\bar{K}^2)^2\pi^2(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}dx.$$

Hence, by Remark 3.3 and Young's inequality,

$$B_2 \le -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^2} |\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi|^2 \pi^2(dz)dx + N\|\phi\|_0^2.$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$B_3 \le N\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left(\int_{Z^2} |\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi|^2 \pi^2(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{Z^2} (l^2)^2 \pi^2(dz)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi| dx.$$

Applying Young's inequality again and combining B_2 and B_3, we derive

$$2\langle \phi, \mathcal{L}^2\phi\rangle_1 \le N\|\phi\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{4}\int \partial_i \phi^l \sigma^{2;i\varrho}\sigma^{2;j\varrho}\partial_j\phi^l dx - \frac{1}{4}\int\int_{Z^2} |\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^2) - \phi|^2\pi^2(dz)dx. \tag{3.8}$$

By the divergence theorem, we have

$$2\langle \phi, b^i\partial_i\phi + c^{\cdot\bar{l}}\phi^{\bar{l}} + f\rangle_0 = 2(\phi, f)_0 + (\phi, \phi \operatorname{div} b)_0 + 2(\phi, c\phi)_0 \le N(\|\phi\|_0^2 + \|f\|_0^2). \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain (3.6). To obtain the estimate (3.7), we use (3.6) and (3.7), and estimate the additional terms:

$$D := \left(\sigma^{1;i\varrho}\partial_i\phi + \upsilon^{1;\cdot\bar{l}\varrho}\phi^{\bar{l}}, g^{\varrho}\right)_0$$

and

$$2\int_{Z^1}\left(\left(\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1) - \phi, h\right)_0 + (\rho^1\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1), h)_0\right)\pi^1(dz) =: E_1 + E_2.$$

By the divergence theorem and Holder's inequality,$|D| \le N\left(\|\phi\|_0^2 + \|g\|_1^2\right)$. Applying Holder's inequality and changing the variable of integration, we get

$$E_2 \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^1}\left(|\rho^1\phi(\tilde{\zeta}^1)|^2 + |h|^2\right)\pi^1(dz)dx \le N\left(\|\phi\|_0^2 + \int_{Z^1}\|h(z)\|_0^2\pi^1(dz)\right).$$

Then by (3.4), Holder's inequality, and Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= 2\int_{Z^1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \phi^l\left(h^l(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1})(\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1} - 1) + h^l(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}) - h\right)dx\pi^1(dz) \\ &\le N\left(\|\phi\|_0^2 + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}}\int_{Z^1}\left(|h|^2 + |h(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}) - h|^2\right)\pi^1(dz)dx\right) \\ &\le N\left(\|\phi\|_0^2 + \int_{Z^1}\|h(z)\|_{\frac{\beta}{2}}^2\pi^1(dz)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

In the following lemma, we verify that Assumption 2.2(0, 1) holds for (3.1). Recall that $\mathcal{W}^{0,1}$ is the space of all H^0-valued strongly càdlàg processes $v : \Omega\times[0,T] \to H^0$ that belong to $L^2(\Omega\times[0,T], \mathcal{O}_T, dV_t d\mathbf{P}; H^1)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let Assumption 3.2(d_2) hold. Then there is a constant $N = N(d_1, d_2, N_0, \eta, \beta)$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{W}^{0,1}$, **P**-a.s.:*

(i)

$$2\langle v_t, \mathcal{L}_t v_t\rangle_1 dV_t + \|\mathcal{N}_t v_t\|_0^2 dV_t + \int_{Z^1} \|\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v_{t-}\|_0^2 \eta(dt,dz)$$
$$+2(v_t, \mathcal{N}_t^\varrho v_t)_0 dw_t^\varrho + 2\int_{Z^1} (v_{t-}, \mathcal{I}_{t,z} v_{t-})_0 \tilde{\eta}\,(dt,dz)$$
$$\leq \left(N\|v_t\|_0^2 dV_t + \int_{Z^1} N\kappa_t(z)\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 \eta(dt,dz) + 2(v_t, \mathcal{N}_t^\rho v_t)_0 dw_t^\varrho + \int_{Z^1} G_{t,z}(v)\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz)\right),$$

where

$$|G_{t,z}(v)|dV_t \leq \bar{\kappa}_t(z)\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 dV_t,\ \forall z \in Z^1, \quad |(v_t, \mathcal{N}_t v_t)_0| dV_t \leq N\,\|v_t\|_0^2\, dV_t,$$

and κ_t and $\bar{\kappa}_t$ are $\mathcal{P}_T \times \mathcal{Z}^1$-measurable processes such that for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\int_{Z^1} \left(\kappa_t(z) + \tilde{\kappa}_t(z)^2\right) \pi_t^1(dz) \leq N;$$

(ii)

$$2(\mathcal{N}_t^\varrho v_t, g_t^\varrho)_0 dV_t + 2\int_{Z^1} (\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v_{t-}, h_t(z))_0 \eta(dt,dz) + 2(v_t, g_t^\varrho)_0 dw_t^\varrho + 2\int_{Z^1} (v_{t-}, h_t(z))_0 \tilde{\eta}(dt,dz)$$
$$\leq \left(N\|v_{t-}\|_0 r_t dV_t + \int_{Z^1} N\|v_{t-}\|_0 \|h_t(z)\|_0 \hat{\kappa}_t(z)\eta(dt,dz) + 2(v_t, g_t^\varrho)_0 dw_t^\varrho + 2\int_{Z^1} \bar{G}_{t,z}(v)\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz)\right),$$

where

$$r_t := \|g_t\|_1 + \left\| \int_{Z^1} \left(h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z), z) - h_t(z)\right) \pi_t^1(dz)\right\|_0,\ t \in [0,T],$$
$$|(v_t, g_t)_0| dV_t \leq N\|v_t\|_0 \|g_t\|_0 dV_t,$$
$$\bar{G}_{t,z}(v) dV_t \leq N\|v_{t-}\|_0 \|h_t(z)\|_0, dV_t,\ \forall z \in Z^1,$$

and $\hat{\kappa}_t$ is a $\mathcal{P}_T \times \mathcal{Z}^1$-measurable process such that for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\int_{Z^1} \hat{\kappa}_t(z)^2 \pi_t^1(dz) \leq N.$$

Proof. (*i*) Owing to the divergence theorem, we have

$$2(v_t, \mathcal{N}_t^\varrho v_t)_0 = (v_t, u_t \operatorname{div} \sigma_t^{1\varrho})_0 + 2(v_t, \upsilon_t^{1\varrho} v_t)_0, \quad \forall \varrho \in \mathbf{N},$$

and hence **P**-a.s.,

$$|2(v_t, \mathcal{N}_t v_t)_0| dV_t \leq N\|v_t\|^2 dV_t.$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.5(i), it suffices estimate

$$Q := 2\langle v_t, \mathcal{J}^1_{t,z} v_t\rangle_1 dV_t + \int_{Z^1} \|\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v_{t-}\|_0^2 \eta(dt,dz) + 2\int_{Z^1} (v_{t-}, \mathcal{I}_{t,z} v_{t-})_0 \tilde{\eta}\,(dt,dz)\,.$$

An application of divergence theorem shows that

$$Q = \int_{Z^1} P_{t,z}(u)\eta(dt,dz) + \int_{Z^1} G_{t,z}(v)\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz),$$

where

$$G_{t,z}(v) := 2(v_{t-}, \rho^1_t(z) v_{t-})_0 - (v_{t-}, v_{t-}\operatorname{div}\zeta^1_t(z))_0,$$

and $P_{t,z}(v) := D_1 + D_2 + D_3$ with

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &:= 2(v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}^1_t(z)), \rho^1_t(z) v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}^1_t))_0 - 2(v_{t-}, \rho^1_t(z) v_{t-})_0,\\ D_2 &:= \|v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}^1_t(z))\|_0^2 - \|v_{t-}\|_0^2 + (v_{t-}, v_{t-}\operatorname{div}\zeta^1_t(z))_0, \quad D_3 := \|\rho^1_t(z) v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}^1_t(z))\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given our assumptions, it is clear that **P**-a.s.,

$$G_{t,z}(v)dV_t \le N\left(l^1_t(z) + \bar{K}^1_t(z)\right)\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 dV_t \quad \textit{and} \quad D_3 dV_t \le l^1_t(z)^2\,\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 dV_t,$$

where in the last inequality we used the change of variable formula. Changing the variable of integration and using (3.4) and (3.5), we find that $d\mathbf{P}$ -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 dV_t &\le N\left(v_{t-}, v_{t-}\left|\rho^1_t(\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}_t(z), z)\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}_t(z) - \rho^1_t(z)\right|\right)_0 dV_t\\ &\le N\left(l^1_t(z)\bar{K}^1_t(z) + \tilde{l}^1_t(z)K^1_t(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 dV_t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_2 dV_t = \left(v_{t-}, v_{t-}|\det\nabla\tilde{\zeta}^{1;-1}_t(z) - 1 + \operatorname{div}\zeta^1_t(z)|\right)_0 dV_t \le \left(\bar{K}^1_t(z)^2 + \tilde{K}^1_t(z)K^1_t(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)N\|v_{t-}\|_0^2 dV_t.$$

Setting

$$\kappa_t(z) = l^1_t(z)^2 + l^1_t\bar{K}^1_t(z) + \tilde{l}^1_t(z)K^1_t(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \bar{K}^1_t(z)^2 + \tilde{K}^1_t(z)K^1_t(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, \quad \bar{\kappa}_t(z) = l^1_t(z) + \bar{K}^1_t(z),\ z\in Z^1,$$

and appealing to our assumptions, we complete the proof (i).

(ii) By the divergence theorem, we have

$$(g^\varrho_t, \mathcal{N}^\varrho_t v_t)_0 = (g^\varrho_t, \operatorname{div}\sigma^{1\varrho}_t v_t)_0 + (\sigma^{1;i\varrho}\partial_i g^\varrho_t, v_t)_0, \quad \forall\rho\in\mathbf{N},$$

and thus by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$|(g_t, \mathcal{N}_t v_t)_0| dV_t \le N\|v_t\|_0\|g_t\|_1 dV_t.$$

Changing the variable of integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{I}_{t,z}v_{t-}, h_t(z))_0 &= \left(h_t(z), \left(v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^1(z)) - v_{t-} + \rho_t^1(z)v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^1(z)\right)\right)_0 \\ &= (h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z), z) - h_t(z), v_{t-})_0 + (h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z), z), (\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z) - 1)v_{t-})_0 \\ &\quad + (h_t(z), \rho_t^1(z)v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^1(z)))_0.\end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that **P**-a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{Z^1} (\mathcal{I}_{t,z}v_{t-}, h_t(z))_0 \eta(dt, dz) + 2\int_{Z^1} (v_{t-}, h_t(z))_0 \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz) \\ &\le 2\|v_{t-}\|_0 r_t^1 dV_t + \int_{Z^1} \bar{P}_{t,z}(v)\eta(dt, dz) + \int_{Z^1} \bar{G}_{t,z}(v)\tilde{\eta}(dt, dz),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_t^1 := \left\| \int_{Z^1} (h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z), z) - h_t(z))\pi_t^1(dz) \right\|_0, \quad \bar{G}_{t,z}(v) = (h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z), z), v_t),$$

and

$$P_{t,z}(v) := (h_t(\tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z)), v_{t-}(\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_t^{1;-1}(z) - 1))_0 + (h_t(z), \rho_t^1(z)v_{t-}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^1(z)))_0.$$

Applying the change of variable formula and Holder's inequality, **P**-a.s. we obtain

$$\int_{Z^1} \tilde{P}_{t,z}(u)\eta(dt, dz) \le N\|v_{t-}\|_0 \int_{Z^1} (\bar{K}_t^1(z) + l_t^1(z))\|h_t(z)\|_0 \eta(dt, dz)$$

and

$$|\tilde{G}_{t,z}(v)|dV_t \le N\|v_{t-}\|_0 \|h_t(z)\|_0 dV_t.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let $d \in \mathbf{N}$. For a function $v \in H^m(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^d)$, define the linear operator $\mathcal{D}v \in H^{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d(d_1+1)})$ by

$$\mathcal{D}v = (\partial_0 v, \partial_1 v, \dots, \partial_{d_1} v) = \tilde{v}$$

with $\tilde{v}^{l0} = v^l$ and $\tilde{v}^{lj} = \partial_j v^l, 1 \le l \le d, 0 \le j \le d_1$ (recall $\partial_0 v = v$). We define $\mathcal{D}^n v$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ by iteratively applying $\mathcal{D}$ n-times. Recall that $\Lambda = (I - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It is easy to check that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all $u, v \in H^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(u, v)_{n,d} &= (\Lambda^n u, \Lambda^n v)_{0,d} = (\mathcal{D}^n u, \mathcal{D}^n v)_{0,d\bar{d}_1^n}, \\ (\Lambda u, \Lambda^{-1} v)_{n,d} &= (\Lambda^{n+1} u, \Lambda^{n-1} v)_{0,d} = (\mathcal{D}^n \Lambda u, \mathcal{D}^n \Lambda^{-1} v)_{0,d\bar{d}_1^n}, \\ (\mathcal{D}^n u, \mathcal{D}^n v)_{-1,d\bar{d}_1^n} &= (\mathcal{D}^n \Lambda^{-1} u, \mathcal{D}^n \Lambda^{-1} v)_{0,d\bar{d}_1^n} = (u, v)_{n-1,d},\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\bar{d}_1 = d_1 + 1$. Let us introduce the operators $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}_z)$ acting on $\phi = (\phi^{lj})_{1 \le l \le d_2, 1 \le j \le \bar{d}_1} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \mathbf{R}^{d_2\bar{d}_1})$ that are defined as $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{I}$, respectively, but with the $d_2\times$

d_2-dimensional coefficients υ_t^k, ρ^k, and c replaced by the $d_2\bar{d_1} \times d_2\bar{d_1}$-dimensional coefficients given by

$$\upsilon^{k;l\bar{j},\bar{l}\bar{j}} = \upsilon^{k;l\bar{\varrho}}\delta_{j\bar{j}} + 1_{j\geq 1}(\partial_j\sigma^{k;\bar{j}\varrho}\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \partial_j\upsilon^{k;l\bar{\varrho}}\delta_{\bar{j}0}),$$
$$\rho^{k;l\bar{j},\bar{l}\bar{j}} = \rho_t^{k;l\bar{l}}\delta_{\bar{j}j} + 1_{j\geq 1}(\partial_j\rho^{k;l\bar{l}}\delta_{\bar{j}0} + (\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \rho^{k;l\bar{l}})\partial_j\zeta^{k;\bar{j}}),$$

and

$$c^{lj,\bar{l}\bar{j}} = c^{l\bar{l}}\delta_{\bar{j}j} + \partial_j b^{\bar{j}}\delta_{l\bar{l}} + \partial_j c^{l\bar{l}}\delta_{\bar{j}0} + \sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(\upsilon^{k;l\bar{\varrho}}\partial_j\sigma^{k;\bar{j}\varrho} + \int_{Z^k}\rho^{k;l\bar{l}}\partial_j\zeta^{k;\bar{j}}\pi_t^k(dz)\right),$$

for $1 \leq l, \bar{l} \leq d_2$ and $0 \leq j, \bar{j} \leq d_1$. The coefficients σ^k, b, and functions $\zeta^k, k \in \{1,2\}$, remain unchanged in the definition of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I})$. We define $\mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{N})$, and $\mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{I})$, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ by iteratively applying $\mathcal{E}$ n-times by the rules (3.2)-(3.2) above with σ^k, b, and $\zeta^k, k \in \{1,2\}$, unchanged. A simple calculation shows that for all $v \in H^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$,

$$\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}v] = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L})\mathcal{D}v, \quad \mathcal{D}[\mathcal{N}^\varrho v] = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}^\varrho)\mathcal{D}v,\ \varrho \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \mathcal{D}[\mathcal{I}_z v] = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}_z)\mathcal{D}v.$$

Continuing, for all $v \in H^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}^n[\mathcal{L}v] = \mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{L})\mathcal{D}^n v, \quad \mathcal{D}^n[\mathcal{N}^\varrho v] = \mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{N}^\varrho)\mathcal{D}^n v,\ \varrho \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \mathcal{D}^n[\mathcal{I}_z v] = \mathcal{E}^n(\mathcal{I}_z)\mathcal{D}^n v. \tag{3.11}$$

If Assumption 3.1(m, d_2) holds, it can readily be verified by induction and the definitions (3.2)-(3.2) that Assumption 3.2$(0, d_2\bar{d_1^m})$ holds for the coefficients of the operators $\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{I})$. Moreover, owing to our assumptions on the input data, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^m\phi \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2\bar{d_1^m}};\mathcal{F}_0), \quad \mathcal{D}^m f \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2\bar{d_1^m}})$$
$$\mathcal{D}^m g \in \zeta^1(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\ell_2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2\bar{d_1^m}})), \quad \mathcal{D}^m h \in \mathbf{H}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1};\mathbf{R}^{d_2\bar{d_1^m}};\pi^1).$$

Making use of (3.10), (3.11) and applying Lemma 3.4 to $\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{L})$, for all $v \in H^{m+1}$, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}v\|_{m-1} = \|\mathcal{D}^m[\mathcal{L}v]\|_{-1} = \|\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{L})\mathcal{D}^m v\|_{-1,d_2\bar{d_1^m}} \leq N\|\mathcal{D}^m v\|_{1,d_2\bar{d_1^m}} = N\|v\|_{m+1}.$$

Likewise, for all $v \in H^{m+1}$, we derive

$$\|\mathcal{N}v\|_m \leq N\|v\|_{m+1}, \quad \int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{I}v\|_m^2\pi^1(dz) \leq N\|v\|_{m+1}^2.$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.5, we have that for all $v \in H^{m+1}$,

$$2(\Lambda v, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_t v)_m + \|\mathcal{N}_t v\|_m^2 + \int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{I}_{t,z}v\|_m^2\pi^1(dz)$$
$$= 2(\mathcal{D}^m\Lambda v, \mathcal{D}^m\Lambda^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_t v])_0 + \|\mathcal{D}^n[\mathcal{N}_t v]\|_0^2 + \int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{D}^m[\mathcal{I}_{t,z}v]\|_0^2\pi^1(dz)$$
$$2\langle\mathcal{D}^m v, \mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{L}_t)\mathcal{D}^m v\rangle_1 + \|\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{N}_t)\mathcal{D}^m v\|_0^2 + \int_{Z^1}\|\mathcal{E}^m(\mathcal{I}_{t,z})\mathcal{D}^n v\|_0^2\pi^1(dz) \leq N\|\mathcal{D}^m v\|_{0,d_2\bar{d_1^m}}^2 = N\|v\|_m^2$$

Using a similar argument, we find that for all $v \in H^{m+1}$,

$$2(\Lambda v, \Lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_t v + f_t))_m + \|\mathcal{N}_t v + g_t\|_m^2 + \int_{Z^1} \|\mathcal{I}_{t,z} v + h_t(z)\|_m^2 \pi^1(dz) \le N\|v\|_m^2 + N\bar{f}_t,$$

where

$$\bar{f}_t = \|f_t\|_m^2 + \|g_t\|_{m+1}^2 + \int_{Z^1} \|h_t(z)\|_{m+\frac{\beta}{2}}^2 \pi_t^1(dz).$$

Therefore, Assumption 2.1(m, d_2) holds for the equation (3.1). Similarly, using Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, we find that that Assumption 2.2(m, d_2) holds for equation (3.1) as well. The statement of the theorem then follows directly from Theorem 2.4.

3.3 Appendix

For each $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and tempered distribution f on $\mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, we define

$$\partial^\kappa f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^\kappa \mathcal{F} f(\cdot)],$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform.

Lemma 3.7 (cf. Lemma 2.1 in [Kom84])**.** *Let $f : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \to \mathbf{R}$ be smooth and bounded. Then for each $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, there are constants $N_1 = N_1(d_1, \kappa)$, $N_2 = N_2(d_1, \kappa)$, and $N_3 = N_2(d_1, \kappa)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$,*

$$\partial^\kappa f(x) = N_1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (f(x + z) - f(x)) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\delta}}$$

and

$$f(x + y) - f(x) = N_2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \partial^\kappa f(x - z) k^{(\kappa)}(y, z) dz,$$

where

$$k^{(\kappa)}(y, z) = |y + z|^{\kappa - d} - |z|^{\kappa - d} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |k^{(\kappa)}(y, z)| dz = N_3 |z|^\kappa.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(Z, \mathcal{Z}, \pi)$ be a sigma-finite measure space. Let $H : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z \to \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ be $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable and assume that for all $(x, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z$,*

$$|\zeta(x, z)| \le K(z) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla\zeta(x, z)| \le \bar{K}(z)$$

where $K, \bar{K} : Z \to \mathbf{R}_+$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-measurable function for which there is a positive constant N_0 such that for some fixed $\beta \in (0, 2]$,

$$\sup_{z \in Z} K(z) + \sup_{z \in Z} \bar{K}(z) + \int_Z \left(K(z)^\beta + \bar{K}(z)^2\right) \pi(dz) < N_0$$

Assume that there is a constant $\eta < 1$ such that $(x, z) \in \{(x, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z : |\nabla\zeta(x, z)| > \eta\}$,

$$|\,(I_{d_1} + \nabla\zeta_t(x, z))^{-1}\,| \le N_0.$$

Then there is a constant $N = N(d_1, N_0, \beta, \eta)$ such that for all $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable $h : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z \to \mathbf{R}^{d_2}$ with $h \in L^2(Z, \mathcal{Z}, \pi; H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_Z (h(x + \zeta(x,z), z) - h(x,z))\, \pi(dz) \right|^2 dx \le N \int_Z \|h(z)\|^2_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \pi(dz).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that for any $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable $h : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z \to \mathbf{R}^{d_2}$ such that

$$\int_Z \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\nabla h(x,z)|^2 \pi(dz) < \infty, \tag{3.12}$$

the integral $\int_Z (h(x + \zeta(x,z), z) - h(x,z))\pi(dz)$ is well-defined. Moreover, for any $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable $h : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z \to \mathbf{R}$ with $h \in L^2(Z, \mathcal{Z}, \pi; H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$, we can always find a sequence $(h^n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable processes such that each element of the sequence is smooth with compact support in x and satisfies (3.12) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_Z \|h(z) - h^n(z)\|^2_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \pi(dz) = 0.$$

Thus, if we prove this lemma for h that is smooth with compact support in x and satisfies (3.12), then we can conclude that the sequence

$$\int_Z (h^n(x + \zeta(x,z), z) - h^n(x,z))\, \pi(dz), \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

is Cauchy in $H^0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$. We then define

$$\int_Z (h(x + \zeta(x,z), z) - h(x,z))\, \pi(dz)$$

for any $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$-measurable $h : \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times Z \to \mathbf{R}$ with $h \in L^2(Z, \mathcal{Z}, \pi; H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$ to be the unique $H^0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}; \mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ limit of the Cauchy sequence. Hence, it suffices to consider h that is smooth with compact support in x and satisfies (3.12). First, let us consider the case $\beta \in (0, 2)$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_Z \left(h(\tilde{\zeta}(x,z), z) - h(x,z) \right) \pi(dz) \right|^2 dx \\
&= N_2^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x - y, z) k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z), y))\, dy \pi(dz) \right|^2 dx \\
&=: N_2^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} | \int_Z A(x,z) \pi(dz)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.7, for all x and z, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x,z) &\le \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\beta/2} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{N_3}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\beta/2} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\zeta_t(x,z)|^{\frac{\beta}{4}} \\ &\le K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \sqrt{N_3}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy K(z)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality again, for all x, we get

$$\left|\int_Z A(x,z)\pi(dz)\right|^2 \le N_3 N_0 \int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\beta/2} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy K(z)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \pi(dz).$$

For each x and z, we set

$$\begin{aligned} B(x,z) &= \int_{|y|\le 2K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy \\ C(x,z) &= \int_{|y|>2K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 k^{(\frac{\beta}{2})}(\zeta(x,z),y))\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable integration, for all x and z, we find

$$\begin{aligned} B(x,z) \le &\int_{|y+\zeta(x,z)|\le 3K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 \frac{dy}{|y+\zeta(x,z)|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \\ &+ \int_{|y|\le 2K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} =: B_1(x,z) + B_2(x,z), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x,z) &\le \int_{|y|\le 3K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h((\tilde{\zeta}(x,z)-y),z)|^2 | \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \\ &\le K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{|y|\le 3} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h((\tilde{\zeta}(x,z)-yK(z)),z)|^2 \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}}, \\ B_2(x,z) &\le K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{|y|\le 2} |\partial^{\beta/2} h(x-yK(z),z)|^2 \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to Remark 3.3, for all z, the map $x \mapsto x+\zeta(x,z) = \tilde{\zeta}(x,z)$ is a global diffeomorphism and

$$\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}^{-1}(x,z) \le N.$$

for some constant $N = N(N_0, d_1, \eta)$. Thus, by the change of variable formula, there is a constant $N = N(d_1, N_0, \beta, \eta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_Z B_1(x,z) K(z)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \pi(dz)dx \\
&\leq \int_Z \int_{|y|\leq 3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\beta/2} h((\tilde{\zeta}(x,z) - yK(z)), z)|^2 dx \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \pi(dz) \\
&\leq \int_Z \int_{|y|\leq 3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\beta/2} h((x - yK(z)), z)|^2 |\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}^{-1}(x,z)| dx \frac{dy}{|y|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \pi(dz) \\
&\leq N \int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x,z)|^2 dx \pi(dz)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_Z K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} B_2(x,z) dx \pi(dz) \leq N \int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x,z)|^2 dx \pi(dz).$$

For all x, y, and z such that $|\zeta(x,z)| \leq K(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}|y|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{|y + \zeta(x,z)|^{d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d_1-\beta/2}} \right| \\
&\leq \left| d_1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \left| \left(\frac{1}{|y + \zeta(x,z)|^{1+d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} + \frac{1}{|y|^{1+d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \right) \right| |\zeta(x,z)| \leq 3 \left| d_1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \frac{|\zeta(x,z)|}{|y|^{1+d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence for all x and z,

$$\begin{aligned}
C(x,z) &= \int_{|y|>2K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{|y + \zeta(x,z)|^{d-\frac{\beta}{2}}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \right| dy \\
&\leq N \int_{|y|>2K(z)} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x-y,z)|^2 \frac{|K(z)|}{|y|^{1+d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \, dy \\
&\leq N K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{|y|>2} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h((x - K(z)y), z)|^2 \frac{dy}{|y|^{1+d_1-\frac{\beta}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimating as above, we find that there is a constant $N = N(d_1, N_0, \beta)$

$$\int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} K(z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} C(x,z) dx \pi(dz) \leq N \int_Z \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |\partial^{\frac{\beta}{2}} h(x,z)|^2 dx \pi(dz).$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain the desired estimate for $\beta \in (0,2)$. Let us now consider the case $\beta = 2$. It follows from Remark 3.3 that for each $\theta \in [0,1]$, on the set of $z \in \{z : \bar{K}(z) < \frac{1}{2}\}$, the map $x \mapsto x + \theta\zeta(x,z) = \tilde{\zeta}_\theta(x,z)$ is a global diffeomorphism and

$$\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_\theta^{-1}(x,z) \leq N,$$

for some constant $N = N(N_0, d_1)$. Hence, making use of Taylor's theorem and the change of variable formula, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_Z (h(x+\zeta(x,z),z) - h(x,z))\, \pi(dz) \right|^2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_{\bar{K}(z)\geq \frac{1}{2}} (h(x+\zeta(x,z),z) - h(x,z))\, \pi(dz) \right|^2 dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left| \int_{\bar{K}(z)<\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 |\nabla h(x+\theta\zeta(x,z),z)\Big| d\theta K(z)\pi(dz)|^2 dx \right. \\
&\leq \pi\left\{\bar{K}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \int_{\bar{K}(z)\geq\eta} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} |h(x,z)|^2 |\det \tilde{\zeta}^{-1}(x,z) + 1| dx\pi(dz) \\
&+ N_0 \int_{\bar{K}(z)<\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \int_0^1 |\nabla h(x,z)|^2 |\det \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_\theta^{-1}(x,z)| d\theta dx\pi(dz) \leq N \int_Z ||h(z)||_1^2 \pi(dz).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgment. We express our gratitude to István Gyöngy for useful discussions we have had on subject of stochastic evolution equations driven by jump processes.

References

[Ber77] Melvin S. Berger. *Nonlinearity and functional analysis*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1977. Lectures on nonlinear problems in mathematical analysis, Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[DG14] Konstantinos Anastasios Dareiotis and István Gyöngy. A comparison principle for stochastic integro-differential equations. *Potential Anal.*, 41(4):1203–1222, 2014.

[DMGZ94] Giuseppe De Marco, Gianluca Gorni, and Gaetano Zampieri. Global inversion of functions: an introduction. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 1(3):229–248, 1994.

[GGK14] Máté Gerencsér, István Gyöngy, and N. V. Krylov. On the solvability of degenerate stochastic partial differential equations in Sobolev spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.4401*, 2014.

[GK81] István Gyöngy and N. V. Krylov. On stochastics equations with respect to semimartingales. II. Itô formula in Banach spaces. *Stochastics*, 6(3-4):153–173, 1981.

[GK81] István Gyöngy and N. V. Krylov. On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales. I. *Stochastics*, 4(1):1–21, 1980/81.

[GM83] B. Grigelionis and R. Mikulevičius. Stochastic evolution equations and densities of the conditional distributions. In *Theory and Application of Random Fields*, volume 49 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, pages 49–88. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[Gyö82] I. Gyöngy. On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales. III. *Stochastics*, 7(4):231–254, 1982.

[Kom84] Takashi Komatsu. On the martingale problem for generators of stable processes with perturbations. *Osaka J. Math.*, 21(1):113–132, 1984.

[KR77] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskiĭ. The Cauchy problem for linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 41(6):1329–1347, 1448, 1977.

[KR79] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskiĭ. Stochastic evolution equations. In *Current Problems in Mathematics, Vol. 14 (Russian)*, pages 71–147, 256. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii, Moscow, 1979.

[KR82] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskiĭ. Characteristics of second-order degenerate parabolic Itô equations. *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk.*, 8:153–168, 1982.

[Len77] E. Lenglart. Relation de domination entre deux processus. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)*, 13(2):171–179, 1977.

[LM14a] James-Michael Leahy and Remigijus Mikulevicius. On classical solutions of linear stochastic integro-differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.0345*, 2014.

[LM14b] James-Michael Leahy and Remigijus Mikulevicius. On some properties of space inverses of stochastic flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.6277*, 2014.

[MR99] R. Mikulevicius and B. L. Rozovskii. Martingale problems for stochastic PDE's. In *Stochastic partial differential equations: six perspectives*, volume 64 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 243–325. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Ole65] O. A. Oleĭnik. On the smoothness of solutions of degenerating elliptic and parabolic equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 163:577–580, 1965.

[OR71] O. A. Oleĭnik and E. V. Radkevič. Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form. In *Mathematical Analysis, 1969 (Russian)*, pages 7–252. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuzn. Inst. Naučn. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, 1971.

[Par75] Étienne Pardoux. Équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques de type monotone. In *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles (1974–1975), III, Exp. No. 2*, page 10. Collège de France, Paris, 1975.

[PZ07] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise: An Evolution Equation Approach*, volume 113 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[PZ13] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. Time regularity of solutions to linear equations with Lévy noise in infinite dimensions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(3):719–751, 2013.

[Roz90] B. L. Rozovskiĭ. *Stochastic Evolution Systems: Linear Theory and Applications to Nonlinear Filtering*, volume 35 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1990. Translated from the Russian by A. Yarkho.

[Tri10] Hans Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010. Reprint of 1983 edition [MR0730762], Also published in 1983 by Birkhäuser Verlag [MR0781540].